# NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

ASSET PRICES UNDER HABIT FORMATION AND CATCHING UP WITH THE JONESES

Andrew B. Abel

Working Paper No. 3279

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH 1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138  $March$  1990

This paper is part of NBER's research program in Financial Markets and Monetary<br>Economics. Any opinions expressed are those of the author and not those of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

## NBER Working Paper #3279 March 1990

## ASSET PRICES UNDER HABIT FORMATION AND CATCHING UP WITH THE JONESES

#### ABSTRACT

This paper introduces a utility function that nests three classes of utility functions: (1) time-separable utility functions; (2) "catching up with the Joneses" utility functions that depend on the consumer's level of consumption relative to the lagged cross-sectional average level of consumption; and (3) utility functions that display habit formation. Closed-form solutions for equilibrium asset prices are derived under the assumption that consumption growth is i.i.d. The equity premia under catching up with the Joneses and under habit formation are, for some parameter values, as large as the historically observed equity premium in the United States.

> Andrew B. Abel Department of Finance Wharton School University of Pennsylvania 3620 Locust Walk Philadelphia, PA 19104-6367 (215)898-4801

This paper introduces a utility function that nests three classes of utility functions: (1) time-separable utility functions; (2) "catching up with the Joneses" utility functions that depend on the consumer's level of consumption relative to the lagged cross-sectional average level of consumption; and (3) utility functions that display habit formation. Incorporating this utility function into a Lucas (1978) asset pricing model allows calculation of closed-form solutions for the prices of stocks, bills and consols under the assumption that consumption growth is i.i.d. Then equilibrium asset prices are used to examine the equity premium puzzle.

## I. The utility function

At time t, each consumer chooses the level of consumption,  $c_t$ , to maximize  $E_t(U_t)$  where  $E_t()$  is the conditional expectation operator at time t and

(1) 
$$
U_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^j u(c_{t+j}, \nu_{t+j})
$$

where  $v_{t+1}$  is a preference parameter. Suppose that the preference parameter  $v_t$  is specified as

$$
(2) \quad \nu_{\mathbf{t}} = [c_{\mathbf{t-1}}^{D}c_{\mathbf{t-1}}^{1-D}]^{\gamma} \qquad \gamma \ge 0 \text{ and } D \ge 0
$$

where  $c_{r-1}$  is the consumer's own consumption in period t-1 and  $C_{t-1}$  is aggregate consumption per capita in period t-1. If  $\gamma =$ 0, then  $v_t = 1$  and the utility function in (1) is timeseparable. If  $\gamma > 0$  and  $D = 0$ , the parameter  $\nu_t$  depends only on the lagged level of aggregate consumption per capita. This formulation is the relative consumption model or "catching up with the Joneses."<sup>1</sup> Finally, if  $\gamma > 0$  and  $D = 1$ , the parameter  $v_t$  depends only on the consumer's own past consumption. This formulation is the habit formation model.

Consider the effects on utility of a change in an individual's consumption at date t, holding aggregate consumption unchanged. Substituting (2) into (1) and then differentiating with respect to  $c_t$  yields

$$
(3) \ \ \partial U_{\mathbf{t}} / \partial c_{\mathbf{t}} = u_{\mathbf{c}}(c_{\mathbf{t}}, \nu_{\mathbf{t}}) + \beta u_{\nu}(c_{\mathbf{t}+1}, \nu_{\mathbf{t}+1}) \ \ \gamma D \nu_{\mathbf{t}+1} / c_{\mathbf{t}}
$$

Suppose that the period utility function  $u(c_t, \nu_t)$  has the following isoelastic form

(4) 
$$
u(c_t, \nu_t) = [c_t/\nu_t]^{1-\alpha}/(1-\alpha), \quad \alpha > 0
$$

When  $\gamma = 0$ , the utility function in (4) is the standard constant relative risk aversion utility function and  $\alpha$  is the coefficient of relative risk aversion. More generally, utility depends on the level of consumption relative to some endogenous

time-varying benchmark  $v_r$ .<sup>2</sup> Under the isoelastic utility function in (4), the expression for  $\partial U_{r}/\partial c_{r}$  in (3) becomes

$$
(5)\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial c_t} = [1 - \beta \gamma D (c_{t+1}/c_t)^{1-\alpha} (\nu_t/\nu_{t+1})^{1-\alpha}]
$$
  
 
$$
x (c_t/\nu_t)^{1-\alpha} (1/c_t)
$$

## II. Equilibrium

Let  $y_t$  be the amount of the perishable consumption good per capita produced by the capital stock. In equilibrium, all output is consumed in the period in which it is produced, as in Lucas (1978). Because all consumers are identical,  $c_r = C_r$  =  $y_t$  in every period. Now let  $x_{t+1} = y_{t+1}/y_t$  be the gross growth rate of output. Because  $c_t - c_t - y_t$ , it follows that  $c_{t+1}/c_t$  =  $C_{t+1}/C_t$  -  $x_{t+1}$ . Therefore, equation (2) implies that  $v_{t+1}/v_t$   $x_1^{\gamma}$  which allows us to rewrite (5) as

$$
(6) \ \ \partial U_{\mathbf{t}} / \partial c_{\mathbf{t}} = H_{\mathbf{t}+1} \nu_{\mathbf{t}}^{\ \alpha-1} c_{\mathbf{t}}^{\ \ -\alpha}
$$

where 
$$
H_{t+1} = 1 - \beta \gamma D x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} x_t^{-\gamma(1-\alpha)}
$$

Note that  $H_{r+1} = 1$  if  $\gamma D = 0$ , which is the case for both time-separable and relative consumption preferences.<sup>3</sup>

# III. Asset Pricing

To calculate asset prices, we examine a consumer who considers purchasing an asset in period t and then selling it in period t+1. If asset prices are in equilibrium, this pair of transactions does not affect expected discounted utility. Suppose that a consumer reduces  $c_r$  by 1 unit, purchases an asset with a gross rate of return  $R_{r+1}$ , sells the asset in period t+1, and increases  $c_{r+1}$  by  $R_{r+1}$  units. The equilibrium rate of return,  $R_{t+1}$ , must satisfy

$$
(7) \quad E_t(-(\partial U_t/\partial c_t) + \beta R_{t+1}(\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1})) = 0
$$

Equation (7) can be rewritten as

$$
(8) \quad \mathbf{E}_{\mathsf{t}}(\beta \mathbf{R}_{\mathsf{t}+1}(\partial \mathbf{U}_{\mathsf{t}+1}/\partial \mathbf{c}_{\mathsf{t}+1})/\mathbf{E}_{\mathsf{t}}(\partial \mathbf{U}_{\mathsf{t}}/\partial \mathbf{c}_{\mathsf{t}})) = 1
$$

Equation (8) is the familiar result that the conditional expectation of the product of the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution and the gross rate of return equals one.<sup>4</sup> We can obtain an expression for  $(\partial U_{r+1}/\partial c_{r+1})/E_r(\partial U_r/\partial c_r)$  using equation (6) to divide  $\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1}$  by  $E_t(\partial U_t/\partial c_t)$  to obtain

$$
(9)(\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1})/E_t(\partial U_t/\partial c_t) =
$$
  

$$
[H_{t+2}/E_t(H_{t+1})]x_t^{\gamma(\alpha-1)}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha}
$$

#### The Price of Risky Capital IV.

Let  $p_{t}^{S}$  be the ex-dividend price of a share of stock in period t, which is a claim to a unit of risky capital. The rate of return on stock is  $R_{t+1}^S$  =  $(p_{t+1}^S + y_{t+1})/p_{t}^S$ . Let  $w_t$  =  $p^{S}$ <sub>t</sub>/y<sub>t</sub> be the price-dividend ratio. Therefore,  $p^{S}$ <sub>t</sub> = w<sub>t</sub>y<sub>t</sub> and  $P^{S}_{t+1} = w_{t+1}y_{t+1}$  so that

$$
(10) \quad R^S_{t+1} = (1 + w_{t+1})x_{t+1}/w_t
$$

Substituting (10) into (8) yields

(11) 
$$
w_t = \beta E_t((1+w_{t+1})x_{t+1}(\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1})/E_t(\partial U_t/\partial c_t))
$$

#### **Bills and Consols** V.

A one-period riskless bill can be purchased in period t at a price of  $s_{r}$ ; in period t+1, the bill is worth 1 unit of consumption. The gross rate of return on the bill is  $R_{r+1}^B$  =  $1/s_{\text{t}}$ . Substituting  $1/s_{\text{t}}$  for the rate of return in (8) yields

$$
(12) st = \beta Et ((\partial Ut+1/\partial ct+1)/Et(\partial Ut/\partial ct))
$$

A consol bond, which pays one unit of consumption in each period, can be purchased at an ex-coupon price  $p^C_{+}$  in period t. In period t+1, the consol pays a coupon worth one unit of consumption and then sells at a price of  $p_{r+1}^C$ . The one-period rate of return on the consol is  $R^{C}_{r+1} = (1+p^{C}_{r+1})/p^{C}_{r}$ . Substituting  $R^C_{r+1}$  into (8) yields

(13) 
$$
p^C_t - \beta E_t((1+p^C_{t+1})(\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1}) / E_t(\partial U_t/\partial c_t))
$$

# VI. I.I.D. Consumption growth

Suppose that consumption growth  $x_{t+1}$  is i.i.d. over time. In this case, we can obtain explicit solutions for the prices of stock, bills, and consols. The price-dividend ratio,  $w_t$ , is

$$
(14) wt = A xt\theta/Jt
$$

where  $\theta = \gamma(\alpha-1)$ 

$$
A = \beta E(x^{1-\alpha}) [1-\beta \gamma DE(x^{(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)})]/[1-\beta E(x^{(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma)})]
$$

$$
J_{\tau} = E_{\tau}(H_{\tau+1}) = 1 - \beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha})x_{\tau}^{\beta}
$$

The price of a one-period riskless bill is

$$
(15) s_t = q\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t
$$

where 
$$
q = E(x^{-\alpha}) - \beta \gamma D E(x^{1-\alpha}) E(x^{\beta-\alpha})
$$

and the price of a consol is

$$
(16) p^C_t = Qx_t^{\theta}/J_t
$$

where 
$$
Q = \beta q/[1 - \beta E(x^{\theta - \alpha})]
$$

Given a distribution for x, the moments of x can be calculated and the three asset prices are easily calculated. For time-separable preferences  $(\gamma = 0)$  and relative consumption  $(\gamma > 0; D = 0)$ , we can obtain closed-form solutions (in terms of preference parameters and the moments of x) for the unconditional expected returns  $E(R^S)$ ,  $E(R^B)$  and  $E(R^C)$ :

(17) 
$$
E(R^{S}) = E(x^{-\theta}) [E(x) + A E(x^{1+\theta})]/A
$$

$$
(18) E(RB) = E(x- $\theta$ )/ $\beta$ q
$$

(19) 
$$
E(R^C) = E(x^{-\theta})[1 + Q E(x^{\theta})]/Q
$$

Under habit formation, unconditional expected returns can be calculated numerically using the asset prices in  $(14) - (16)$ .

## VII. The Equity Premium

Mehra and Prescott (1985) report that from 1889 to 1978 in the United States, the average annual real rate of return on short-term bills was 0.80% and the average annual real rate of return on stocks was 6.98%. Thus the average equity premium was 618 basis points. They calibrated an asset pricing model with time-separable isoelastic utility to see whether the model could deliver unconditional rates of return close to the historical

average rates of return on stocks and bills. They used a 2point Markov process for consumption growth with  $E(x_{+}) = 1.018$ ,  $Var(x_{+}) = (0.036)^{2}$ , and correlation  $(x_{+}, x_{+1}) = -0.14$ . For values of the preference parameters that Mehra and Prescott deemed reasonable, the model could not produce more than a 35 basis point equity premium  $(E(R^S) - E(R^B))$  when the expected riskless rate,  $E(R^B)$ , was less than or equal to 4% per year. This result is the equity premium puzzle.

Table 1 reports the unconditional expected rates of return on stocks, bills, and consols under the assumption that  $x_t$  is i.i.d.  $E(x) = 1.018$  and  $Var(x) = (0.036)^2$ . For time-separable and relative consumption preferences, two unconditional expected returns are reported in each cell: the first is calculated under a 2-point i.i.d. distribution; the second, which is in brackets, is calculated under a lognormal distribution for x.

The top panel of Table 1, which reports the unconditional expected rates of return under time-separable preferences, displays the equity premium puzzle. Although  $E(R^S)$  increases as  $\alpha$  increases from 0.5 to 10.0,  $E(R^B)$  also increases. The equity premium,  $E(R^S) - E(R^B)$ , does not come anywhere close to the 600 point historical average. Incidentally, the unconditional expected rates of return of bills and consols are exactly equal under time-separable preferences.

The middle panel of Table 1 reports the unconditional expected rates of return in the relative consumption model. For  $\alpha = 6$ , the equity premium is 463 basis points and the unconditional riskless rate is 2.07% per year. Although the

unconditional expected returns on stocks and bills are much closer to their historical averages, the conditional expected rates of return (not reported in the table) vary too much. For the 2-point distribution for x, the standard deviation of  $E_{+}$ ( $R^{B}_{+1}$ ) is 17.87% when  $\alpha = 6$ . This unrealistic implication of the model poses a challenge for future research.

The top and middle panels of Table 1 report unconditional rates of return for a lognormal distribution with  $E(x) = 1.018$ and  $Var(x) = (0.036)^2$ . For the parameter values reported, it makes no substantial difference for expected returns whether the growth rate is lognormal or has a 2-point distribution.

The third panel of Table 1 presents the unconditional expected rates of return under habit formation. The expected rates of return on both long-lived assets--stocks and consols-are extremely sensitive to the value of  $\alpha$ . Under logarithmic utility  $(a = 1)$ , the expected rates of return are the same as under time-separable preference and relative consumption. However, with  $\alpha = 1.14$ , the expected rates of return on stocks and consols are both greater than 35%.

Further research using the utility function introduced in this paper will explore the implications of other settings for the parameters  $\gamma$  and D. For instance, if D is between zero and one, the utility function would contain elements of both catching up with the Joneses as well as habit formation. Also the assumption of i.i.d. consumption growth rates can be relaxed, and asset prices can then be analyzed numerically.

9

### References

- Constantinides, George M., "Habit Formation: A Resolution of the Equity Premium Puzzle," University of Chicago, October 1988.
- Detemple, Jerome, "General Equilibrium with Time Complementarity: Consumption, Interest Rates, and the Equity Premium, Graduate School of Business, Columbia University, September, 1989
- Gali, Jordi, "Keeping up with the Joneses: Consumption Externalities, Portfolio Choice and Asset Prices," mimeo, Graduate School of Business, Columbia University, September 1989.
- Heaton, John, "An Empirical Investigation of Asset Pricing with Temporally Dependent Preference Specifications," Massachusetts Institute of Technology, December, 1989.
- Lucas, Robert E., Jr. "Asset Prices in an Exchange Economy," Econometrica, November 1978, 46, 1429-1445.
- Mehra, Rajnish and Prescott, Edward C., "The Equity Premium: A Puzzle," Journal of Monetary Economics, March 1985, 15,  $145 - 161$
- Nason, James, "The Equity Premium and Time-Varying Risk Behavior," Federal Reserve Board, Finance and Economics Discussion Paper Series, No. 11, February 1988.
- Sundaresan, Suresh M. "Intertemporally Dependent Preferences and the Volatility of Consumption and Wealth," The Review of Financial Studies, 1989, 2, 73-89.



 $\mathbf{11}$ 

## Footnotes

 $*$ Department of Finance, Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. I thank Mike Perigo for helpful discussion and excellent research assistance.

 $1$ The phrase "catching up with the Joneses", rather than "keeping up with the Joneses", reflects the assumption that consumers care about the *lagged* value of aggregate consumption. The April 1989 version (page 10) of Jordi Gali (1989), but not in the September 1989 revision, examines the utility function  $u(c_r, C_r) = [1/(2-\beta-\gamma)]c^{1-\beta}(c_r/C_r)^{-(1-\gamma)}$  and shows that when  $\beta =$ 1, asset pricing will be equivalent to an economy without consumption externalities and with log utility.

<sup>2</sup>George Constantinides (1988), Jerome Detemple (1989), John Heaton (1989), and Suresh Sundaresan (1989) also examine asset prices in the presence of habit formation. James Nason (1988) includes a time-varying benchmark level of consumption that differs from habit formation in that it is independent of an individual consumer's own consumption.

 $3_A$  sufficient condition for  $\partial U_r/\partial c_r > 0$  when  $\gamma = D = 1$ (habit formation) is  $1 + \ln \frac{\beta}{\ln(\max(x)/\min(x))} < \alpha < 1 + \ln \frac{\beta}{\ln(\max(x)/\min(x))}$  $\beta/\ln(\min\{x\}/\max\{x\})$ . For  $\beta = 0.99$  and the 2-point distribution in Table 1, the sufficient condition is  $0.858 < \alpha < 1.142$ .

 $4$ In the conventional time-separable formulation of this problem,  $\partial U_r / \partial c_r$  is known as of time t, and hence  $E_t$  $(\partial U_t / \partial c_t)$  on the left hand side of (8) equals  $\partial U_{t}/\partial c_{t}$ .

## Appendix

This appendix derives the solutions for the prices of the three assets.

## **Stocks**

Substituting the expression for  $(\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1})/E_t(\partial U_t/\partial c_t)$ from (9) into (11) yields

(A1) 
$$
w_t = \beta E_t((1+w_{t+1})H_{t+2}x_t^{\gamma(\alpha-1)}x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha})/E_t(H_{t+1})
$$

Now use the facts that  $\theta = \gamma(\alpha-1)$  and that  $x_t$  is known as of time t to rewrite (Al) as

(A2) 
$$
w_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta} / E_t(H_{t+1})] E_t((1+w_{t+1})H_{t+2}x_{t+1})^{1-\alpha}]
$$

Using the law of iterated projections we can write (A2) as

(A3) 
$$
w_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta} / E_t(H_{t+1})] E_t((1+w_{t+1})E_{t+1}(H_{t+2})x_{t+1})^{1-\alpha}]
$$

Now use the definition of  $J_t = E_t(H_{t+1})$  to obtain

(A4) 
$$
w_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta} / J_t] E_t((1 + w_{t+1}) J_{t+1} x_{t+1}^{1 - \alpha})
$$

Now suppose that  $w_t$  is given by (14) and use the method of undetermined coefficient to determine the coefficient A. Substituting (14) for  $w_t$  and  $w_{t+1}$  in (A4) yields

(A5) 
$$
Ax_t^{\theta}/J_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t] E_t((1 + A x_{t+1}^{\theta}/J_{t+1})J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha})
$$

Dividing both sides of (A5) by  $x_t^{\theta}/J_t$  and simplifying yields

$$
(A6) \quad A = \beta \quad E_t(J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha}) + \beta A E_t(x_{t+1}^{\beta}x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha})
$$

Using the fact that  $\theta = \gamma(\alpha-1)$ , observe that  $\theta + 1 - \alpha =$  $(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)$ . Therefore (A6) implies

(A7) 
$$
[1 - \beta E_t(x_{t+1}^{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)})] A = \beta E_t(J_{t+1}^{(1-\alpha)})
$$

Under the assumption that  $x_t$  is i.i.d., we have  $J_t =$  $E_t(H_{t+1}) = 1 - \beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha}) x_t^{\theta}$  so that

$$
(A8) \quad J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} = [1 - \beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha})x_{t+1}^{\beta}]x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha}
$$

Calculating the conditional expectation of both sides of (A8) yields

(A9) 
$$
E_t(J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha}) = E_t(x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha})
$$
  
-  $E_t(\beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha})x_{t+1}^{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)})$ 

Because  $x_t$  is i.i.d., the conditional expectations on the right hand side of (A9) are equal to the unconditional expectations so that

$$
(A10) E_{t} (J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{1-\alpha}) = E(x^{1-\alpha}) [1 - \beta \gamma DE(x^{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)})]
$$

Now substitute (A10) into (A7) and use the assumption that x is i.i.d. to obtain

$$
(A11) \left[1-\beta E(x^{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)})\right]A - \beta E(x^{1-\alpha})\left[1-\beta \gamma DE(x^{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)})\right]
$$

Finally we can obtain A by dividing both sides of (All) by the factor multiplying A on the left hand side of (All)

$$
(A12)A = \beta E(x^{1-\alpha})[1-\beta\gamma DE(x^{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)})]/[1-\beta E(x^{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha)})]
$$

## One-period riskless bonds

Substituting the expression for  $(\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1})/E_t(\partial U_t/\partial c_t)$ from (9) into (12) yields

(A13) 
$$
s_t = \beta E_t([H_{t+2}/E_t(H_{t+1})]x_t^{\gamma(\alpha-1)}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Recalling that  $\theta = \gamma(\alpha-1)$  and  $J_t = E_t(H_{t+1})$ , we rewrite  $(A13)$  as

 $\sim 10^{-10}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

(A14) 
$$
s_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t] E_t(H_{t+2}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Using the law of iterated projections to simplify the right-hand side of (Al4) we obtain

(A15) 
$$
s_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t] E_t(E_{t+1}(H_{t+2})x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Now use the definition  $J_{t+1} = E_{t+1}(H_{t+2})$  to obtain

(A16) 
$$
s_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t] E_t (J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Suppose that the price of the one-period riskless bond is given by (15). We will use the method of undetermined coefficients to determine the coefficient q by substituting (15) into the left-hand side of (Al6) to obtain

$$
(A17) q\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t = [\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t] E_t(J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Dividing both sides of (A16) by  $\beta x_t^{\theta}/J_t$  yields

$$
(A18) q - E_t (J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{\alpha})
$$

Under the assumption that  $x_t$  is i.i.d., we have  $J_t$  =  $E_t(H_{t+1}) = 1 - \beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha}) x_t^{\theta}$  so that

$$
(A19) E_t (J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha}) = E_t [(1 - \beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha})x_{t+1}^{-\alpha}]x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Using the fact that  $x_t$  is i.i.d., we can simplify the right-hand side of (Al9) to obtain

$$
(A20) E_t(J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha}) = E(x^{-\alpha}) - \beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha})E(x^{\beta-\alpha})
$$

Substituting (A20) into (A18) yields

$$
(A21) q = E(x^{-\alpha}) - \beta \gamma DE(x^{1-\alpha})E(x^{\theta-\alpha})
$$

### Consols

Substituting the expression for  $(\partial U_{t+1}/\partial c_{t+1})/E_t(\partial U_t/\partial c_t)$ from (9) into (13) yields

$$
(A22) p^{C}_{t} = \beta E_{t}((1+p^{C}_{t+1}) [H_{t+2}/E_{t} (H_{t+1})] x_{t}^{\gamma(\alpha-1)} x_{t+1}^{\alpha})
$$

Using the definitions  $\theta = \gamma(\alpha-1)$  and  $J_t = E_t(H_{t+1})$ , we can rewrite the right-hand side of (A22) as

$$
(A23) p^{C}{}_{t} - \beta [x_{t}^{\theta}/J_{t}] E_{t} ((1 + p^{C}{}_{t+1}) H_{t+2} x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Using the law of iterated projections, we have

$$
(A24) pCt = \beta[xt0/Jt]Et((1+pCt+1)Et+1(Ht+2)xt+1- $\alpha$ )
$$

Because  $J_{t+1} = E_{t+1}(H_{t+2})$ , equation (A24) can be rewritten as

(A25) 
$$
p^{C}{}_{t} = \beta [x_{t}^{\theta}/J_{t}] E_{t} ((1 + p^{C}{}_{t+1}) J_{t+1} x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Now suppose that  $p^C_{t}$  is given by (16) so that (A25) becomes

(A26) 
$$
Qx_t^{\theta}/J_t = \beta [x_t^{\theta}/J_t] E_t ((1+Qx_{t+1}^{\theta}/J_{t+1})J_{t+1}x_{t+1}^{-\alpha})
$$

Dividing both sides of (A26) by  $x_t^{\theta}/J_t$ , and simplifying the right hand side yields

$$
(A27) \quad Q = \beta E_t (J_{t+1} x_{t+1}^{-\alpha}) + Q\beta E_t (x_{t+1}^{\beta - \alpha})
$$

Subtracting  $Q\beta E_t(x_{t+1}^{\theta-\alpha})$  from both sides of (A27) and then dividing both sides by  $[1 - \beta E_t(x_{t+1}^{\theta - \alpha})]$  yields

$$
(A28) \quad Q = \beta E_{t} (J_{t+1} x_{t+1}^{-\alpha}) / [1 - \beta E_{t} (x_{t+1}^{\beta - \alpha})]
$$

Substituting (A18) into (A28) yields

(A29)  $Q = \beta q/[1 - \beta E_t(x_{t+1}^{\theta - \alpha})]$ 

Using the fact that  $x_t$  is i.i.d., we obtain

(A30) Q =  $\beta q/[1 - \beta E(x^{\theta-\alpha})]$