

NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

WORKING LONGER IN THE U.S.:
TRENDS AND EXPLANATIONS

Courtney Coile

Working Paper 24576
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w24576>

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH
1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
May 2018

This paper was prepared as part of the NBER International Social Security (ISS) project. The author thanks the members of the ISS team for valuable suggestions and Hero Ashman and Clio Flikkema for excellent research assistance. The views expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

NBER working papers are circulated for discussion and comment purposes. They have not been peer-reviewed or been subject to the review by the NBER Board of Directors that accompanies official NBER publications.

© 2018 by Courtney Coile. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Working Longer in the U.S.: Trends and Explanations
Courtney Coile
NBER Working Paper No. 24576
May 2018
JEL No. J14,J26

ABSTRACT

Over the past two decades, labor force participation rates for older men have been rising, reversing a century-long trend towards earlier retirement. Participation rates for older women are rising as well. A number of theories have been put forward to explain the rise in participation at older ages, including improving mortality and health, increasing education and a shift towards less physically demanding work, and changes in employer-provided benefits and Social Security. This paper documents trends in labor force participation and employment at older ages and in the factors that may be contributing to rising participation. A review of these trends and of the relevant literature suggests that increases in education, women's growing role in the economy, the shift from defined benefit to defined contribution pension plans, and Social Security reforms all likely played some role in the trend towards longer work lives.

Courtney Coile
Department of Economics
Wellesley College
106 Central Street
Wellesley, MA 02481
and NBER
ccoile@wellesley.edu

In 2016, the share of men in their early 60s who was working or looking for work in the U.S. was not four in ten – but it would have been, if the trend in earlier decades had persisted. After falling continuously for over a century (Costa, 1998), the labor force participation rate of older men suddenly began to rise starting in the mid-1990s. Today, more than six in ten men ages 60 to 64 are in the labor force, a dramatic increase relative to what might have been expected two decades ago. Labor force participation rates for older women have also been rising for decades, due in part to far-reaching societal changes in the role of women.

Many factors have been proposed to explain the rise in labor force participation at older ages and the resulting increase in the average age of retirement (Munnell, 2015). These include demographic factors like improving health and rising education, shifts in the economy away from sectors with physically demanding work, and changes to employer-sponsored pensions and health insurance benefits and to Social Security. Rising participation among women may also lead men to work longer if spouses prefer to retire together. While these factors could all plausibly have contributed to the recent trend, it is not clear whether all of them did so, nor which factors are the most important.

This paper aims to begin to fill this gap by providing an analysis of trends in labor force participation and employment and in factors that may influence these outcomes. The focus is on the years 1980 to the present, a period encompassing both declining and rising participation for men and rising participation for women. The analysis is largely descriptive, examining whether the trends are consistent with the hypothesis that changes in factors such as health or Social Security may be leading to longer work lives and gleaning insights from the literature about the likely role of these factors. This analysis sets the

stage for future work that will focus on the role of Social Security and private pensions, documenting how the financial incentives for retirement have changed over time due to changes in retirement programs and estimating how much of the rise in labor force participation can be explained by these changes in incentives.

I. Trends in Labor Force Outcomes

The rise in labor force participation at older ages is among the most significant labor market trends in the U.S. in recent decades. As Figure 1 illustrates, for men ages 60 to 64, participation began to rise in the mid-1990s, growing from 53 percent in 1994 to 62 percent in 2016, a 9-point increase. For men ages 65 to 69, the trend began a decade earlier and the increase to date is 12 points, from 25 percent in 1985 to 37 percent in 2016. By contrast, there is no U-shaped pattern for men ages 55 to 59, whose participation declined from 82 percent in 1980 to 77 percent by the mid-1990s and remained flat thereafter.¹

The trend for women, shown in Figure 2, is quite different. In all age groups, participation has risen continuously since 1980, increasing by 17 points at ages 55 to 59 and 60 to 64 and by 13 points at ages 65 to 69. In 2016, nearly two-thirds of women ages 55 to 59 and half of women ages 60 to 64 were in the labor force. Women's sustained increases in participation led to a substantial narrowing of the male-female participation gap – for those ages 55 to 59, the gap fell from 33 points in 1980 to 12 points in 2016. There is some indication in the figure that participation has plateaued for women ages 55

¹ CEA (2016) documents this trend and explores supply- and demand-side factors that may have contributed to this decline, concluding that the decline in real wages of less skilled workers is an important factor

to 64 since about 2010, though it is not yet clear whether this is a temporary or permanent break from the long-term trend.

The employment rate is also of interest. As shown in Figures 3 and 4, trends in employment are very similar to those in labor force participation, as unemployment, which is the difference between the two series, is generally quite low in these age groups. The average unemployment rate during the period 1980 to 2016 is 3.4 percent for men and 2.3 percent for women ages 55 to 59; rates for the two older age groups are lower. The unemployment rate is affected by the business cycle, reaching highs of 5 to 6 percent for men ages 55 to 59 in the early 1980s and after the Great Recession of the late 2000s. The official unemployment rate may understate the number of older individuals who would like to work if some discouraged workers do not report themselves to be looking for work. Age discrimination as well as lower labor demand during troughs in the business cycle may impede work at older ages (Lahey, 2008; Neumark et al., 2015; Coile and Levine, 2007).

Population averages may mask substantial heterogeneity in the experiences of different groups. Labor force participation rates by education are shown in Figures 5 and 6. Rates are reported as a three-year moving average to reduce sampling variation (here and in similar graphs below), and the youngest age group and those with some college are omitted for clarity of exposition. There are very large differences in participation by education. On average across all years, the participation of college graduates is 25 points higher than that of high school dropouts for both men and women ages 60 to 64; at ages 65 to 69, the participation gap between college graduates and high school graduates is 23 points for men and 15 points for women. For men, patterns over time by education group are fairly similar, though there is some evidence of a widening gap in participation during

the past decade, which could reflect a stronger effect of the Great Recession on those with less education. For women, the increases in participation over time are much larger for college graduates than for those with a high school education or less, leading to a substantial widening of the participation gap by education.²

Labor force participation rates by race are presented in Figures 7 and 8. For men, participation rates are consistently higher for Whites than for non-Whites, but differences are smaller than those across education groups. At ages 55 to 59, a gap of 15 points in 1980 declines to 10 points in 2016, due to falling participation of Whites. At older ages, the gap held steady or widened slightly over time, reaching 10 points for those 60 to 64 and 7 points for those 65 to 69 in 2016. For women, differences in participation by race are smaller, 3 to 5 points in 2016, though this represents a change from the 1980s, when the participation rate of non-Whites was similar to if not slightly higher than that of Whites. As these figures do not control for education, differences in participation by race could in part reflect the effect of racial differences in educational attainment.

Participation by marital status, shown in Figures 9 and 10, is markedly different by gender. Single men participate at rates 10 to 20 points below their married counterparts, depending on the age group, and these differences have been stable or widened slightly over time. In the case of women, single women in 1980 had participation rates 16 to 18 points higher than those of married women at ages 55 to 64 and 8 points higher at ages 65 to 69. Over time, this gap was eliminated in the two younger groups and narrowed in the

² In examining trends in labor force participation by education group, it is important to be mindful of the large changes over time in the share of the population in each education group, discussed below. As there are, for example, fewer high school dropouts in recent years, the average characteristics of this group may be somewhat different from the average characteristics of high school dropouts in an earlier era. For a discussion of this issue in the context of trends in mortality by education, see Bound et al. (2014).

oldest group. Thus, much of the rise in women's participation at older ages over the past few decades is the result of an increase in the share of married women that is working.

Work can encompass different kinds of labor market activity, including part-time work and self-employment. Figures 11 and 12 detail the frequency of these forms of work at older ages. Self-employment is fairly popular among men, with 12 to 13 percent of men ages 55 to 64 and 10 percent of men ages 65 to 69 engaged in such work in 2016; rates of self-employment among women are about half as large. The fraction of men working part-time (less than 35 hours per work) is low but rises with age, at about 6 percent of those ages 55 to 59 and 9 percent of those ages 65 to 69. Part-time work is more common for women, with 11 to 12 percent of all age groups working part-time in 2016. Part-time work has been essentially flat since 1990, as has self-employment among women, though there is a modest decline in self-employment among men.³ With employment rate rising over time, the share of workers who are part-time has been falling – even so, among those still in the labor force, 25 percent of men and nearly 40 percent of women in the oldest age group worked part-time in 2016.

To sum up, labor force participation by older men and women has been rising for several decades. The increase began in the mid-1980s for men in their late 60s and a decade later for men in their early 60s, while women's participation has been rising since at least 1980. There are notable differences across groups – employment at older ages is higher for those with more education, for Whites, and for married men. Married women's

³ Data on self-employment from the March Current Population Survey (CPS) are shown starting in 1990 due to a break in the series that appears related to question wording. Beginning in 1988, the survey distinguishes between incorporated and unincorporated self-employment, and there is a roughly 50 percent increase in reported self-employment between 1987 and 1988. As the figure reports three-year moving averages, 1990 is the first year that relies solely on data from 1988 and later.

participation once lagged far behind that of single women, but the gap has largely been eliminated. Part-time work and self-employment are important at older ages, with more women engaged in the former and more men in the latter.

II. Trends in Explanatory Factors

These dramatic changes in work at older ages, and in particular the reversal of the century-long decline in participation of older men, naturally raise the question: what are the causes of this trend towards longer work lives? A number of demographic and economic factors are plausible contributors, but it is necessary to examine the trends in these factors and review other relevant evidence to begin to draw conclusions as to the likelihood of their each playing a role.

Mortality and Health

One theory is that improvements in longevity and health have played a key role in rising participation at older ages. Many studies have established that poor health is associated with earlier retirement (e.g., Bound et al., 1999; see Coile, 2015, for a review). Health at older ages – as measured by mortality risk – has improved substantially over time. Figure 13 shows that the mortality rate at age 60 has declined by 40 percent for men and one-third for women since 1980. As mortality risk may be an imperfect proxy for work capacity, Figure 14 reports trends in self-assessed health, a frequently-used measure of

overall health. The share of men and women ages 55 to 64 reporting fair or poor health fell by 5 to 7 points between 1980 and the mid-1990s and has been essentially flat thereafter.⁴

A simple juxtaposition of these health and labor force trends is not supportive of the theory that health improvements are driving later retirement. The mortality rate has fallen continuously over time, both during the period that men's participation was falling and the period when it was rising. Self-assessed health improved from the 1980s through mid-1990s, while men's participation declined, and was flat thereafter, while men's participation increased. Thus, neither of these health trends aligns with the U-shaped trend in men's participation.

One explanation for the lack of time series evidence supporting this theory may be that while poor health is an important determinant of retirement for some, it is not the main reason why most people retire. Most people who are in the labor force at age 60 exit by age 70, yet health declines only slowly with age over this range (Coile et al., 2017). Moreover, comparing how much older individuals today work relative either to those in the past or to slightly younger individuals in similar health suggests that there is significant health capacity to work at older ages (ibid.). In short, while better health may have supported longer work lives, there is little evidence that it is a primary driver.

Education and Occupation

⁴ Trends in other health measures are also of interest, but obtaining an overall view is complicated by the fact that measures do not necessarily all move in the same direction, at the same time, and equally for all groups (Crimmins, 2004). One prominent debate focuses on whether longevity increases are resulting in a compression of disability into a shorter period before death or an increase in the number of years of disability; see Cutler et al. (2014) and Crimmins and Beltran-Sanchez (2010). For discussion of other measures of health and disability, see Coile (2018).

Another potential candidate is the increase in educational attainment of the near retirement-age population and shift away from physically demanding jobs. Changes in education since 1980 have been dramatic, as shown in Figures 15 and 16. For men ages 60 to 64, the share with a less than high school education has fallen by about 30 percentage points, from 40 to 10 percent of the population, while the share with a college degree or some college has grown from one quarter to one half of the population. Patterns for women are largely similar, though the initial share with at least some college was lower than for men. By contrast, changes in broad occupation category (blue collar, white collar, service, and other) are smaller, as seen in Figures 17 and 18.⁵ Between the 1980s and the 2010s, the share of men working in blue-collar jobs fell by 6 percentage points and the share working in white-collar jobs rose by an equivalent amount. For women, there was a decline in both blue-collar and service jobs and a 12-point increase in white-collar work.

A simple calculation may be useful to begin exploring how much the rise in education may have contributed to the increase in work at older ages. Figure 19 simulates the labor force participation rates that would have occurred in the years 1995 to 2016 if participation rates by education group (Figure 5) had remained constant at 1995 levels while the educational composition of the population (Figure 15) continued to change. In other words, this is an estimate of the rise in work that would be expected simply by having a more educated population, since more educated people tend to retire later. For men ages 60 to 64, the calculation suggests that participation would have risen by 3.5 points between 1995 and 2016 due to the increase in education over this period. Participation actually

⁵ Classification of occupation codes into the categories of blue collar, white collar, service, and other (which includes farm and military) follows Ham et al., 2011.

rose by 9.9 points, suggesting that the increase in education could be responsible for about one-third of the gains. For men ages 65 to 69, a similar calculation would suggest that increases in education could be responsible for nearly half (44 percent) of the gains.⁶ Calculations for women, shown in Figure 20, suggest that increases in education between 1995 and 2016 could be responsible for 44 percent of the rise in work at ages 60 to 64 and 30 percent of the rise at ages 65 to 69.

Caution may be warranted in drawing conclusions from such an exercise, however. Repeating this simulation using the participation rates by education group that existed in 1980, one would have predicted that participation would rise by 4.1 points for men ages 60 to 64 between 1980 and 1995 and by 3.5 points for men ages 65 to 69; in reality, participation fell by 9.2 and 2.6 points for the two groups, respectively, presumably for reasons unrelated to the change in education. As in the case of health, education has increased both during periods of falling and rising participation; indeed, educational attainment has been fairly flat over the past decade, even as participation has continued to rise. Moreover, as seen in Figure 5, the U-shape trend in labor force participation for men is also evident within each education group, suggesting that there are factors beyond education that are key to understanding the rise in participation.

⁶ In a similar analysis, Burtless (2013) projects that slightly over half of the increase in the participation of men ages 60 to 74 between ages 1985 and 2010 can be attributed to rising educational attainment. Blau and Goodstein (2007) estimate labor force participation models using data from the Current Population Survey (CPS) and Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) aggregated by age, calendar year, and education; their estimates suggest that the increase in participation between 1988-92 and 2001-05 can be fully explained by changes in education. Both they and Burtless (2013) estimate that if the educational distribution had been fixed at its 1985 level, labor force participation would have continued to decline into the 1990s rather than increase.

Rising Participation among Women

The dramatic increase in women's involvement in the economy during the 20th century has been dubbed a "quiet revolution" (Goldin, 2006). As cohorts of women who have invested more in their education and career reach older ages, older women's labor force participation rates naturally rise. This trend may lead to higher participation rates for older men – their husbands – if there are leisure complementarities, that is, if each spouse's enjoyment of leisure time is enhanced when the other spouse is present. Noting that many couples retire together, Gustman and Steinmeier (2000) and Maestas (2001) estimate structural family retirement models and find that complementarity of leisure is a key factor driving joint retirement. Baker (2002) and Coile (2004) find that financial incentives for retirement from public pensions have spillover effects on to the retirement decision of the spouse, which is also consistent with leisure complementarities.

Estimating the causal effect of rising women's participation on men's participation is challenging, given the strong possibility that other factors, such as changes to Social Security, may affect the participation of both men and women. Schirle (2008) overcomes this obstacle by using women's labor force participation rate at age 40 – which will reflect women's changing role in the economy but will not be affected by factors such as Social Security – to predict their participation at older ages. Figure 21 shows the labor force participation rate of women ages 35 to 44 lagged by twenty years, which roughly corresponds to the share of older men in a given year whose wives were working two decades earlier. This series rises by 35 points, from 43 percent in 1980 to 78 percent in

2016. Using this approach, Schirle (2008) estimates that the rise in older women's participation can explain one-quarter of the rise in older men's participation in the U.S.⁷

Employer-Provided Benefits

Employer-provided benefits including pensions and retiree health insurance may influence retirement decisions, and thus trends in these benefits may contribute to retirement trends. One significant change in employer benefits has been the shift from defined benefit (DB) type to defined contribution (DC) type pension plans.⁸ As shown in Figure 22, the share of private-sector workers participating in an employer-sponsored pension plan has remained relatively constant over time, at just under half the workforce. The share of workers with a DB plan only, however, fell from 28 percent in 1980 to 2 percent in 2014, while the share with a DC plan only rose by a similar amount, from 6 to 34 percent. The share with both a DB and DC plan remained roughly flat, averaging 13 percent over the period.⁹

DB and DC plans offer very different financial incentives for continued work at older ages. In DB plans, pension wealth typically grows until the plan's early or normal retirement age and declines thereafter; DC plans, by contrast, lack age-specific retirement

⁷ Schirle (2008) estimates that changes in U.S. men's education and age explain about one-third of the rise, leaving 40 percent unexplained by the combination of women's participation and these other factors.

⁸ In terms of the factors behind this shift, Friedberg and Webb (2005) point to the importance of regulatory changes since 1974 that have tightened funding standards for DB plans, constrained the structure of both DB and DC plans, and extended tax breaks for DC contributions. Poterba et al. (2007) note that firms in rapidly expanding industries have chosen to rely on DC rather than DB plans.

⁹ The vast majority of public sectors workers continue to be covered by DB plans. Among state and local workers in 2012, for example, only 2 percent had a DC plan while 7 percent had a hybrid (DB and DC) plan (Munnell et al., 2014). Most federal workers are covered by both a DB and DC plan.

incentives. Friedberg and Webb (2005) estimate that their absence leads workers with a DC plan to retire nearly two years later.¹⁰ A simple calculation suggests that the shift from DB to DC plans might have led to a roughly 5-month increase in the median retirement age, corresponding to nearly one-fifth of the increase for men since 1995 (Munnell, 2015).¹¹

Another important change in employer-sponsored benefits has been the decline in the share of firms offering health insurance to retirees. By one estimate, the share of private-sector workers employed by firms offering health insurance to their pre-Medicare age retirees fell from 29 percent in 1997 to 18 percent in 2011 (Fronstin et al., 2012).¹² A number of studies suggest that the availability of retiree health insurance benefits leads workers to retire at least several months and potentially more than a year earlier (Madrian et al., 1994; Nyce et al., 2013). Using the midpoint of these estimates suggests that the decline in retiree health insurance coverage between 1997 and 2011 might have led to an increase in the median retirement age of about one month.¹³

¹⁰ While this study is one of the few to estimate the effect of the shift from DB to DC pensions on retirement, many previous studies find that DB pension incentives have strong effects on retirement decisions – see, for example, Stock and Wise (1990a, 1990b) and Samwick (1998).

¹¹ Friedberg and Webb (2005) estimate that moving from a DB to a DC plan is associated with a 21-month increase in the median retirement age. Given a 26-point decline in the share of private sector workers with DB plans between 1980 and 2014 (Figure 22) and an estimated 84 percent of workers in the private sector (Mayer, 2014), this suggests that the shift from DB to DC plans led to a 4.5-month decline in the median retirement age. Munnell (2015) calculates that the median age of retirement for men rose by 26 months between 1995 and 2013, so 4.5 months represents 18 percent of that increase. Friedberg and Webb (2005) forecast a somewhat larger (9 to 13 month) increase in the median retirement age between 1983 and 2015, based on projections of future age-specific rates of DB coverage with the data then available.

¹² Trends in this statistic prior to 1997 are more difficult to discern. EBRI (1996) reports that the share of full-time workers in firms with 100 or more employees who have access to retiree health benefits remained constant between 1988 and 1993, even as a 1990 regulatory change required companies to record unfunded benefit liabilities on their financial statements, potentially causing employers to reexamine their role in providing these benefits. However, the number of large employers offering retiree health insurance benefits has been declining since at least 1991 (Fronstin, 2001)

¹³ Estimates of the effect of retiree health insurance access on retirement vary. Madrian et al. (1994) report an effect of 5 to 16 months, while Nyce et al. (2013) find an effect of 3 to 13 months, depending on the

Social Security Reforms

While public pension reforms in the U.S. in recent decades have not been as frequent or dramatic as those in some other countries, there have nonetheless been meaningful changes that have significantly impacted the incentive to work at older ages and likely contributed to longer work lives.

The 1983 amendments to the Social Security Act made a number of changes that collectively were designed to put the system on firmer financial footing for coming decades. One such change was an increase in the Full Retirement Age (FRA), which is the age at which beneficiaries receive their full monthly benefit amount without reduction for early claiming. The FRA has historically been age 65, but is rising over time to age 67 in a series of steps, with the first increase for those born in 1938 (who turned 65 in 2003).¹⁴ The increase in the FRA may affect the decision of when to retire for two reasons. First, an FRA increase is effectively a benefit cut for any given claiming age. For example, for a worker whose Primary Insurance Amount (PIA) is \$1,000 would be entitled to a monthly benefit of \$1,000 when claiming at age 65 if her FRA is 65 versus \$933 per month if her FRA is 66, a decline of 6.67%. Economic theory suggests that she will respond to this negative wealth shock by reducing consumption of leisure (and other normal goods), and postponing retirement is one means of doing so. Second, the FRA may play an important role in establishing a focal point for retirement, affecting social norms.

employee's length of service and the share of premiums covered by the firm. Using 9 months as an approximate midpoint of these estimates, an 11-point decrease in coverage for private sector workers (who make up 84 percent of the workforce, as discussed above) yields an estimate of 0.8 months.

¹⁴ Specifically, the FRA is 65 for those born in 1937 or earlier, rises by 2 months per birth cohort for the 1938-1942 cohorts, is 66 for those born in 1943-1954, rises again by 2 months per birth cohort for the 1955-1959 cohorts, and is 67 for those born in 1960 and later.

Mastrobuoni (2009) examines the effect of the FRA increase using data for the 1928 through 1941 birth cohorts, covering the first four steps in the FRA increase (from 65 to 65 and 8 months). He shows that the average age of retirement was rising quite gradually across birth cohorts until the FRA increases began and then rose more rapidly thereafter. He concludes that each two-month increase in the FRA is associated with a one-month increase in the average age of retirement.¹⁵ Song and Manchester (2007a) show that the spike in claiming at age 65 for men and women moved in lock-step with the FRA as it increased, though changes in claiming behavior may not necessarily reflect changes in retirement. Extrapolating from these results suggests that the one-year increase in the FRA to date (from 65 to 66) may have increased the average age of retirement by six months.

A second key change in Social Security provisions is the increase in the Delayed Retirement Credit (DRC) for claiming after the FRA. Workers may claim Social Security benefits starting at age 62, but receive a larger monthly benefit amount the longer they wait to claim. Delayed claiming may increase or decrease lifetime Social Security benefits, depending on whether the adjustment is more or less than actuarially fair. Historically, benefits have been reduced by 6.67 percent per year for claiming before the FRA and raised by 3 percent per year of delay after the FRA. Coile et al. (2002) show that with these rates of adjustment, many types of worker can maximize the financial or utility value of benefits by waiting until the FRA to claim, but no types gain from delay beyond the FRA.¹⁶

¹⁵ Mastrobuoni (2009) notes that Blau and Goodstein (2007) reach a different conclusion about the effect of Social Security reform on labor force participation; however, their approach does not isolate the change in benefits that results solely from reforms.

¹⁶ Shoven and Slavov (2014) show that the value of delaying between age 62 and the FRA has increased since the 1960s, with most of the increase due to improvement in mortality and declining real interest rates, though a Social Security rule change that affects survivor's benefits has also increased the benefit of delay for husbands. They do not report the gains (or losses) from delay beyond the FRA in their analysis.

The 1983 amendments raised the DRC from 3 to 8 percent per year in a series of steps.¹⁷ This change has increased the value of delay beyond the FRA; Sun and Webb (2009) report that for cohorts exposed to the higher DRC, there are many worker types for whom delay beyond the FRA is optimal. An increase in the DRC may encourage individuals to delay benefit claim without changing their retirement decision or could encourage individuals to delay both retirement and claiming if they tend to treat these decisions as linked (though they need not be). Pingle (2006) estimates the effect of the DRC on employment in a model that includes age and year fixed effects and a polynomial in birth year, using the step increases in the DRC for identification. He finds that each one percentage point increase in the DRC is associated with a roughly one percentage point increase in the employment rate of men ages 65 to 69. This estimate suggests that the five-point increase in the DRC since 1990 could explain up to half of the increase in participation of men ages 65 to 69 over this period reported in Figure 1.

A final change relates to the Social Security retirement earnings test (RET). Those who claim Social Security retired worker benefits but continue to have earnings are potentially subject to the RET. Workers below the FRA face a benefit reduction of \$1 for each \$2 of earnings above an exempt amount (\$15,270 in 2016, though this amount is higher for those reaching the FRA during the year). Workers above the FRA were historically subject to the RET with a somewhat higher exemption amount. In 1990, the tax rate on those above the FRA was lowered to \$1 of benefit reduction per \$3 of earnings, and in 2000, the RET was eliminated for those above the FRA. Benefits lost through the RET are

¹⁷ Specifically, the DRC is 3% per year for those born in 1924 or earlier, then rises by 0.5% every other year (e.g., to 3.5% for the 1925-1926 cohorts, to 4% for the 1927-1928 cohorts, etc.), reaching 8% for those born in 1943 and later.

subsequently credited back to workers in the form of an increased actuarial adjustment, but this appears to be a little-understood provision.

An existing literature has explored the effect of the RET on both the extensive and intensive work margins; the former is more relevant here.¹⁸ A number of studies employ a differences-in-differences approach to explore the effect of changes to the RET over time and conclude that the test has little effect on employment (see Gruber and Orszag, 2003; Haider and Loughran, 2008; Song, 2004; Song and Manchester, 2007b).¹⁹ By contrast, Friedberg and Webb (2009) find that the RET affects employment in some of their specifications and conclude that its elimination above the FRA increased employment at ages 66 to 68 by 0.5 to 2 percentage points. Gelber et al. (2017) also identify an extensive margin response to the RET using a regression discontinuity approach based on changes in the employment levels of 63- and 64-year-olds with earnings near the RET threshold; the authors estimate that eliminating the RET would cause an increase in employment of 1.4 points among workers at those ages with earnings near the threshold. While these results are not directly informative as to the effect of eliminating the RET above the FRA, they may suggest that a modest reduction in employment was likely.

Overall, these three changes to Social Security – the increase in the FRA, the increase in the DRC above the FRA, and elimination of the RET above the FRA – seem likely to have contributed substantially to the increase in employment at older ages, particularly at ages 65 and above. As the literature on the FRA and DRC increases is fairly limited and as the

¹⁸ See Friedberg (2000) and Gelber et al. (2013) for evidence of the effect of the RET on the intensive margin.

¹⁹ Gustman and Steinmeier (2008) employ a different methodology, estimating a structural model of retirement and wealth; they conclude that the RET reduces participation between ages 62 and the FRA by four percentage points.

results of the different studies are not necessarily reported in a way that allows for easy comparison of the effects of these policy changes on employment, additional work examining how Social Security policy changes have affected the return to work at older ages would be helpful.

III. Discussion

Men and women in the U.S. are working substantially longer than their counterparts of a few decades ago, a phenomenon with profound implications both for the workers themselves and for the economy as a whole. Many theories have been put forth to help explain this trend. Improving health is one such theory. Yet while good health is certainly a necessary ingredient for a longer career, there is little evidence that changes in health are driving rising participation. Education is another likely candidate, given the steep participation gradient with respect to education and the dramatic increases in the educational attainment of the older population in recent decades. Although simple calculations suggest that this factor could have played a key role, there is as yet no test of this hypothesis based on a compelling empirical strategy.

More persuasive evidence appears to exist with respect to several other hypotheses. Rising women's participation in the economy encouraged older husbands to work longer due to leisure complementarities. A shift in employer-provided pensions from DB to DC type plans reduced the share of workers facing strong incentives to retire at particular ages, while a decline in retiree health coverage left some workers with no means of obtaining health insurance other than through their job, at least until the Medicare eligibility age of 65; both changes contributed to longer work lives. Finally, changes to the

Social Security FRA, DRC, and RET have strengthened the incentive for work past the FRA, contributing to the increase in participation at older ages.

The estimates from the existing literature may be combined to get some sense of the share of the increase in work that is explained by these various factors, although the results this exercise should be treated very cautiously given that they are projections based on findings from different studies that may not be directly comparable. Using Munnell's (2015) estimate of a 26-month increase in men's average retirement age since 1995, Schirle's (2008) estimate that the rise in women's participation accounted for one-quarter of the increase in men's participation would suggest a 6.5-month increase in men's average retirement age from this factor. In the discussion above, the effects of the DB to DC shift and decline in retiree health insurance coverage were estimated to be 4.5 months and 0.8 months, respectively, while the effect of the FRA increase was estimated to be 6 months. Making a projection of the effect of the rising DRC and elimination of the RET is more difficult. Pingle (2006) suggests that the DRC increase led to a 5-point increase in participation at ages 65 to 69 while the findings of Friedberg and Webb (2009) might suggest a further 1- to 2-point increase from the elimination of the RET. Together these would account for roughly half the increase in participation since 1995 in the 65 to 69 age group, and the participation rate of this group being roughly half that of men age 60 to 64, this might be seen as equivalent to one-sixth of the overall increase in the retirement age, or 4.3 months. Together, these factors account for an estimated 22-month increase in the average retirement age for men, or about 85 percent of the total increase since 1995. Social Security is the single largest factor, with all the changes combined accounting for perhaps 10 months of the increase, although the calculations with respect to the DRC and RET are

particularly speculative. The average age of retirement for women rose by 24 months between 1995 and 2013, indicating that changes in Social Security can account for a large share of the increase in women's work over this period as well.

Several potentially fruitful directions for future analysis are suggested from this analysis. First, there is some evidence of a slowdown or even an end to the trend towards longer work lives for men and women in Figures 1 and 2, although this period coincides with the recovery from the Great Recession and so trends could reflect business cycle effects. It will be important to monitor these trends to see how retirement behavior continues to evolve in the future. Second, trends by socioeconomic status merit further attention. There is clear evidence of a widening gradient in participation by education for women (Figure 6), and some evidence of this for men as well (Figure 5), although the changing distribution of educational attainment complicates the evaluation of trends over long periods of time. It would be useful to explore trends in retirement and benefit claiming by other key measures of socioeconomic status, such as lifetime income group. In addition, as noted earlier, better evidence regarding the effect of rising education on participation at older ages is needed.


Finally, this discussion highlights the need for more analysis of how changes to Social Security since 1980 have affected the incentives for work at older ages and how much these reforms have contributed to the increase in work at older ages. Making projections based on results from different studies, as has been done here, necessarily provides only tentative answer to these important questions. Future work on these questions will not only provide a better understanding of past reforms but lay the groundwork for projecting the effect of future reforms as well.

References


- Baker, Michael (2002). "The Retirement Behavior of Married Couples: Evidence from the Spouse's Allowance," *Journal of Human Resources* 37(Winter):1-34.
- Blau, David M. and Ryan Goodstein (2007). "What Explains Trends in Labor Force Participation of Older Men in the United States?" IZA Discussion Paper No. 2991.
- Bound, John, Arline Geronimus, Javier Rodriguez, and Timothy Waidmann (2014). "The Implications of Differential Trends in Mortality for Social Security," University of Michigan Retirement Research Center Working Paper 2014-314.
- Bound, John, Michael Schoenbaum, Todd R. Stinebrickner, and Timothy Waidmann (1999). "The Dynamic Effects of Health on the Labor Force Transitions of Older Workers," *Labour Economics* 6(2):179-202.
- Burtless, Gary (2013). "Can Educational Attainment Explain the Rise in Labor Force Participation at Older Ages," Center for Retirement Research Issue Brief 13-13.
- Coile, Courtney C. (2018). "The Demography of Retirement," unpublished paper.
- Coile, Courtney C. (2015). "Economic Determinants of Workers' Retirement Decisions," *Journal of Economic Surveys* 29(4):830-853.
- Coile, Courtney C. (2004). "Retirement Incentives and Couples' Retirement Decisions," *B.E. Press Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 4(1):1-30.
- Coile, Courtney, Peter Diamond, Jonathan Gruber, and Alain Jouten (2002). "Delays in Claiming Social Security Benefits," *Journal of Public Economics* 84(3):357-385.
- Coile, Courtney C. and Phillip B. Levine (2007). "Labor Market Shocks and Retirement: Do Government Programs Matter?" *Journal of Public Economics* 91(10):1902-1919.
- Coile, Courtney, Kevin S. Milligan, and David A. Wise (2017). "Health Capacity to Work at Older Ages: Evidence from the U.S.," in David A. Wise, ed., *Social Security Programs and Retirement Around the World: The Capacity to Work at Older Ages*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Council of Economic Advisors (2016). "The Long-Term Decline in Prime-Age Male Labor Force Participation." https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/page/files/20160620_cea_primeage_male_lfp.pdf
- Costa, Dora (1998). *The Evolution of Retirement: An American Economic History, 1880-1990*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Crimmins, Eileen M. (2004). "Trends in the Health of the Elderly," *Annual Review of Public Health* 25:79-98.
- Crimmins, Eileen M. and Hiram Beltrán-Sánchez (2010). "Mortality and Morbidity Trends: Is There Compression of Morbidity?" *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences* 66B(1):75-86.
- Cutler, David M., Kaushik Ghosh, and Mary Beth Landrum (2014). "Evidence for Significant Compression of Morbidity in the Elderly U.S. Population," in David A. Wise, ed., *Discoveries in the Economics of Aging*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Employee Benefit Research Institute (1996). "Retiree Health Benefits: What the Changes May Mean for Future Benefits," Employee Benefit Research Institute Issue Brief No. 175, July.
- Friedberg, Leora (2000). "The Labor Supply Effects of the Social Security Earnings Test," *Review of Economics and Statistics* 82:48-63.

- Friedberg, Leora and Anthony Webb (2009). "New Evidence on the Labor Supply Effects of the Social Security Earnings Test," in *Tax Policy and the Economy*, Jeffrey R. Brown and James M. Poterba, eds. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Friedberg, Leora and Anthony Webb (2005). "Retirement and Evolution of the Pension Structure," *Journal of Human Resources* 40(2):281-308.
- Fronstin, Paul (2001). "Retiree Health Benefits: Trends and Outlook," Employee Benefit Research Institute Issue Brief No. 236, August.
- Fronstin, Paul and Nevin Adams (2012). "Employment-Based Retiree Health Benefits: Trends in Access and Coverage, 1997-2010," Employee Benefit Research Institute Issue Brief No. 377, October.
- Gelber, Alexander M., Damon James, and Daniel W. Sacks (2013). "Earnings Adjustment Frictions: Evidence from the Social Security Earnings Test," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 19491.
- Gelber, Alexander M., Damon Jones, Daniel W. Sacks, and Jae Song (2017). "Using Kinked Budget Sets to Estimate Extensive Margin Responses: Method and Evidence from the Social Security Earnings Test," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 23362.
- Goldin, Claudia (2006). "The Quiet Revolution that Transformed Women's Employment, Education, and Family," *The American Economic Review* 96(2):1-21.
- Gruber, Jonathan and Peter Orszag (2003). "Does the Social Security Earnings Test Affect Labor Supply and Benefits Receipt," *National Tax Journal* 56:755-774.
- Gustman, Alan L. and Thomas L. Steinmeier (2008). "Projecting Behavioral Responses to the Next Generation of Retirement Policies," *Research in Labor Economics* 28:141-196.
- Gustman, Alan L. and Thomas L. Steinmeier (2000). "Retirement in Dual-Career Families: A Structural Model," *Journal of Labor Economics* 18(3):503-545.
- Haider, Steven J. and David S. Loughran (2008). "The Effect of the Social Security Earnings Test on Male Labor Supply: New Evidence from Survey and Administrative Data," *Journal of Human Resources* 43(1):57-87.
- Ham, D. Cal, Thomas Przybeck, Jaime R. Strickland, Douglas A. Luke, Laura J. Bierut, and Bradley A. Evanoff (2011). "Occupation and Workplace Policies Predict Smoking Behaviors: Analysis of National Data from the Current Population Survey" *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 53(11):1337-1345.
- Lahey, Joanna N. (2008). "Age, Women, and Hiring: An Experimental Study," *Journal of Human Resources* 43(1):30-56.
- Madrian, Brigitte, Gary Burtless, and Jonathan Gruber (1994). "The Effect of Health Insurance on Retirement," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 1994(1):181-252.
- Maestas, Nicole (2001). *Labor, Love, and Leisure: Complementarity and the Timing of Retirement by Working Couples*. Berkeley: U.C. Berkeley.
- Mastrobuoni, Giovanni (2009). "Labor Supply Effects of the Recent Social Security Benefit Cuts: Empirical Estimates Using Cohort Discontinuities," *Journal of Public Economics* 93(11-12):1224-1233.
- Mayer, Gerald (2014). "Selected Characteristics of Private and Public Sector Workers," Congressional Research Service Report 7-5700.
- Munnell, Alicia H. (2015). "The Average Retirement Age: An Update," Center for Retirement Research at Boston College Issue Brief #15-4.


- Munnell, Alicia H., Jean-Pierre Aubry, and Mark Cafarelli (2014). "Defined Contribution Plans in the Public Sector: An Update," Center for Retirement Research at Boston College, State and Local Pension Plans Number 37, April.
- Neumark, David, Ian Burn, and Patrick Button (2015). "Is It Harder for Older Workers to Find Jobs? New and Improved Evidence from a Field Experiment," NBER Working Paper 21669.
- Nyce, Steven, Sylvester J. Schieber, John B. Shoven, Sita N. Slavov, and David A. Wise (2013). "Does Retiree Health Insurance Encourage Early Retirement?" *Journal of Public Economics* 104:40-51.
- Pingle, Jonathan F. (2006). "Social Security's Delayed Retirement Credit and the Labor Supply of Older Men," Federal Reserve Board Finance and Economics Discussion Series, 2006-37.
- Poterba, James, Joshua Rauh, Steven Venti, and David Wise (2007). "Defined Contribution Plans, Defined Benefit Plans, and the Accumulation of Retirement Wealth," *Journal of Public Economics* 91(10):2062-2086.
- Samwick, Andrew A. (1998). "New Evidence on Pensions, Social Security, and the Timing of Retirement," *Journal of Public Economics* 70:207-236.
- Schirle, Tammy (2008). "Why Have the Labor Force Participation Rates of Older Men Increased Since the Mid-1990s?" *Journal of Labor Economics* 26(4):549-594.
- Shoven, John B. and Sita Slavov (2014). "Does It Pay to Delay Social Security?" *Journal of Pension Economics and Finance* 13(2):121-144.
- Song, Jae (2004). "Evaluating the Initial Impact of Eliminating the Retirement Earnings Test," *Social Security Bulletin* 65(1):1-15.
- Song, Jae and Joyce Manchester (2007a). "Have People Delayed Claiming Retirement Benefits? Responses to Changes in Social Security Rules," *Social Security Bulletin* 67(2):1-23.
- Song, Jae and Joyce Manchester (2007b). "New Evidence on Earnings and Benefit Claims Following Changes in the Retirement Earnings Test," *Journal of Public Economics* 91:669-700.
- Stock, James H. and David A. Wise (1990a). "Pensions, the Option Value of Work, and Retirement," *Econometrica* 58:1151-1180.
- Stock, James H. and David A. Wise (1990b). "The Pension Inducement to Retire: An Option Value Analysis," in David A. Wise, ed., *Issues in the Economics of Aging*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sun, Wei and Anthony Webb (2009). "How Much Do Households Really Lose by Claiming Social Security at Age 62?" Center for Retirement Research Working Paper 2009-11.




Source: stats.oecd.org (data from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics)




Source: stats.oecd.org (data from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics)




Source: stats.oecd.org (data from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics)



Source: stats.oecd.org (data from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics)




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and rates are a three-year moving average. Those with some college are omitted from the graph.




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and rates are a three-year moving average. Those with some college are omitted from the graph.

Figure 7: Labor Force Participation Rate by Race for Men Ages 55-69, 1980-2016




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and rates are a three-year moving average.

Figure 8: Labor Force Participation Rate by Race for Women Ages 55-69, 1980-2016




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and rates are a three-year moving average.

Figure 9: Labor Force Participation Rate by Marital Status for Men Ages 55-69, 1980-2016




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and rates are a three-year moving average.

Figure 10: Labor Force Participation Rate by Marital Status for Women Ages 55-69, 1980-2016




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and rates are a three-year moving average.

Figure 11: Part-Time Work and Self-Employment for Men Ages 55-69, 1980-2016



Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are a three-year moving average. Self-employment data are shown starting in 1990 due to a change in question.


Figure 12: Part-Time Work and Self-Employment for Women Ages 55-69, 1980-2016




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are a three-year moving average. Self-employment data are shown starting in 1990 due to a change in question.




Source: www.mortality.org




Source: National Health Interview Survey.




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are a three-year moving average.




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are a three-year moving average.




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are a three-year moving average. See text for definition of occupation groups.




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are a three-year moving average. See text for definition of occupation groups.




Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are three-year moving averages. Simulated rates are weighted averages that combine 1995 participation rates by education group with contemporaneous shares in each education group.



Source: March Current Population Survey (CPS). Data are weighted by person weights and values are three-year moving averages. Simulated rates are weighted averages that combine 1995 participation rates by education group with contemporaneous shares in each education group.



Source: stats.oecd.org (data from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics)



Source: www.ebri.org/publications/benfaq/index.cfm?fa=retfaq14fig1