

THE EFFECTS OF TAXATION ON THE SELLING OF CORPORATE STOCK AND THE REALIZATION OF CAPITAL GAINS

Martin Feldstein NBER and Harvard University

Joel Slemrod NBER and Harvard University

Shlomo Yitzhaki Rehovath University and the Falk Institute, Jerusalem

NBER Working Paper No. 250

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH 1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

June 1978

Caller Co

SUMMARY

This study provides the first econometric analysis of the effect of taxation on the realization of capital gains. The analysis thus extends and complements the earlier study by Feldstein and Yitzhaki (1978) of the effect of taxation on the selling of corporate stock. The present analysis, using a large, new body of data obtained from individual tax returns, supports the earlier finding that corporate stock sales are quite sensitive to tax rates and then shows that the effect on the realization of capital gains is even stronger.

More specifically, the estimated tax sensitivity implies that limiting the capital gains tax rate to 25 percent would have caused an almost three-fold increase in the total value of the net gains realized in the 1973 sample year. As a result, the reduction in tax rates would have substantially increased the revenue produced by the capital gains tax rate.

Martin Feldstein, President National Bureau of Economic Research 1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 868-3905

Joel Slemrod
Economics Department
Harvard University
1737 Cambridge St., Rm. 403
Cambridge, MA 02138
(617) 495-4321

Shlomo Yitzhaki Rehovath University and the Falk Institute Jerusalem, Israel

THE EFFECTS OF TAXATION ON THE SELLING OF CORPORATE STOCK AND THE REALIZATION OF CAPITAL GAINS

Martin Feldstein* Joel Slemrod* Shlomo Yitzhaki**

The effective rates at which capital gains are taxed have increased very substantially in recent years. Debate continues on proposals to change the tax law in ways that would further increase these tax rates as well as on proposals to reduce the effective tax on capital gains. The present paper uses a new, rich body of microeconomic data to estimate how taxation affects the selling of corporate stock and the realizing of capital gains. The results indicate that the current high rates of tax on capital gains substantially reduce the selling of corporate stock, particularly sales that would involve recognizing net capital gains.

Until 1969, the tax rate on long-term capital gains was limited by a ceiling of 25 percent. Individuals whose marginal tax rates were below 50 percent could exclude half of their gains, thereby paying a tax rate of less than 25 percent. Higher income individuals could use the "alternative tax"

 $^{^{\}star}$ The National Bureau of Economic Research and Harvard University.

^{**}Rehovath University and the Falk Institute, Jerusalem, Israel.

We are grateful to the Office of Tax Analysis of the U.S. Treasury for financing this work, for making available the special data on which this research is based, and for comments on an earlier version of this paper. This paper is part of the NBER Program of Research on Business Taxation and Finance. The paper represents the views of the authors and not necessarily of the NBER.

At this time, the long-term capital gain rate applied to assets held for at least 6 months.

method that subjected the entire gain to a 25 percent tax. Since then, several statutory changes have combined to raise the tax on capital gains. The alternative tax method is now limited to the first \$50,000 of capital gains per taxpayer; since 50 percent of the gains in excess of this amount are excluded from taxable income, the personal tax rate on marginal capital gains can now be as high as 35 percent. A "minimum tax," originally introduced in the Tax Reform Act of 1969, now subjects the excluded half of capital gains for some taxpayers to an additional tax of 15 percent. In 1969, the tax on capital gains was effectively raised further for some high income individuals by a provision which made the tax rate that such individuals must pay on wage and salary income depend on the amount of capital gains that they realize. The combination of these tax changes makes the current marginal capital gains tax rate exceed 40 percent for many individuals, substantially more than the previous 25 percent maximum. 2

In addition to these statutory tax changes, the effective tax on real capital gains has been raised substantially by inflation. Under current law, the capital gains tax is levied on nominal capital gains with no adjustment

Under the "maximum tax" provisions, the marginal tax rate on wages, salaries and other personal services income is limited to 50 percent. The 1969 change provides that, for each two dollars of capital gain, the individual must reduce the income that he subjects to the 50 percent "maximum tax" by one dollar and subject that dollar to his ordinary tax. This reclassified dollar may then be taxed at a personal rate of up to 70 percent. For an individual with a 70 percent marginal tax rate, this reclassification adds 20 cents per two dollars of capital gain.

²Several other statutory changes have also raised the tax on capital gains: the holding period required to qualify as long-term capital gains has increased; the basis of capital assets transferred at death is no longer increased to market value; the ability to donate capital gain property to charities has been limited; etc. In addition, state income tax on capital gains have become increasingly important.

for changes in the price level since the stock was acquired. This not only overstates the value of real capital gains but, by converting real losses to nominal gains, reduces investors' opportunities to offset capital losses against capital gains. Feldstein and Slemrod (1978) analyzed the corporate stock sold by individuals in 1973; they found that adjusting the costs of these stocks for the increase in consumer prices since they were acquired would change the \$4.6 billion gain on which taxes were paid to a loss of nearly \$1 billion and would cut the corresponding tax liability in half.

A wide range of proposals to change the taxation of capital gains is being actively discussed. The Treasury has proposed eliminating the alternative tax completely. Other proposals to increase the tax on capital gains include raising the minimum tax or even eliminating the 50 percent exclusion. The effective tax rate would be lowered by proposals to tax only real gains or to decrease the tax rate with the length of the holding period, or to repeal the minimum and maximum tax rules related to capital gains. More radical proposals include extending the "rollover" provision (in which capital gains are not taxed if the proceeds are reinvested) to corporate stock or a more general substitution of an expenditure tax for the current income.

A prerequisite for sound policy decisions is an understanding of how alternative tax rules would affect investor behavior. It is particularly important to know whether high tax rates "lock investors in" existing stocks, thereby reducing the efficiency of the capital market. Similarly, it is important to know whether increasing the tax rate on capital gains would

 $^{^{1}}$ See, among others, Break and Pechman (1975), Brinner (1973) and David (1968).

actually increase revenue or, by substantially reducing the realization of gains, would decrease revenue.

This study provides the first econometric analysis of the effect of taxation on the realization of capital gains. The analysis thus extends and complements the earlier study by Feldstein and Yitzhaki (1978) of the effect of taxation on the selling of corporate stock. The present analysis, using a large, new body of data obtained from individual tax returns, supports the earlier finding that corporate stock sales are quite sensitive to tax rates and then shows that the effect on the realization of capital gains is even stronger.

The first section of the paper discusses the data used in this analysis. Section 2 presents estimates of the effect of the tax on common stock sales and compares these results with those of the earlier Feldstein-Yitzhaki study. The third section discusses the corresponding estimates of the response of realized capital gains. Simulations of the effects of several alternative policies are presented in section 4. There is a brief concluding section.

1. Data and Definitions

Each year the Internal Revenue Service and the Treasury select a stratified random sample of approximately 100,000 individual tax returns with which to study income sources, deductions and tax liabilities. The information for each taxpayer consists of the major items on the individual's tax return (form 1040). The sample is drawn so that the sampling fraction increases to 100 percent for taxpayers with adjusted gross incomes over \$200,000. As a result, the sample can be used to make accurate estimates even for the high income groups which consist of relatively small numbers of people. Moreover, because the sampling probabilities are known, unbiased estimates for all taxpayers or for any subgroup can be constructed.

In 1973, the Treasury collected more detailed information on the capital gains and losses reported on these tax returns. In addition to the usual information on each tax return, this special study recorded for each sale of a capital asset (as reported on schedule D of form 1040) the nature of the asset (stock, real estate, etc.), the purchase price, date acquired, sale price, and date sold. Our analysis focuses exclusively on the sale of corporate stock.

In order to study the effect of tax rates on the selling of corporate stock, we require a probability sample of all the taxpayers who own stock and not just those who sold stock in 1973. Although the tax returns provide no direct information about the ownership of corporate stock, we can use the receipt of dividends to identify stockholders. Our sample consists of 53,523 taxpayers who received dividends in 1973; the sample weights imply that this group represents a population of 11.5 million taxpaying units which owned

stock in 1973. All taxpayers without dividend income are eliminated from the sample.

The analysis that we present in the following sections of this paper relates the value of the stock sold and of the net capital gain realized by each stockowner in the sample to his "capital gains tax rate" and to other determinants of sales and gains. To calculate each individual's "capital gains tax rate" we use a sophisticated computer program (TAXSIM) that embodies the basic features of the tax law as of 1973. This program calculates the effect on the individual's total tax liability of another dollar of capital gains, including such calculations as the use of the alternative tax, the extra "minimum tax," and the change in the standard deduction for those who do not itemize their deductions. The "capital gains tax rate" is a marginal tax rate defined as the extra tax liability due on an additional dollar of capital gain.

Since the capital gains tax rate of an individual can vary with the amount of capital gain that he realizes, there are several possible ways of calculating our capital gains tax rate variable. The simplest procedure is to use the capital gains tax rate that would apply to the first dollar of corporate stock capital gain that the individual realizes, i.e., the extra tax liability that would be due on a dollar of capital gain if the individual had no other sales of corporate stock. This "first dollar capital gains tax

¹In effect, the individual faces a schedule of capital gain tax rates rather than a single rate.

rate" has the statistical advantage of being exogenous in the sense that it is independent of the individual's decision about how much gain to realize. 1

However, for very wealthy individuals who typically realize large gains, these "first dollar" rates could differ substantially from the tax rates at which marginal decisions were actually made in 1973. The most appropriate rate to use for each individual is the "last dollar capital gains rate," i.e., the additional tax liability that would be incurred if the individual increased his capital gain in 1973 by one dollar. Because this tax rate is endogenous to the individual's decision, an equation using this rate cannot be estimated by ordinary least squares. We therefore use a consistent instrumental variable estimation procedure. Fortunately, both definitions of the tax rate yield quite similar results.

The specification of the equations that we have estimated and the precise definitions of the other variables will be discussed in the following section where the estimates of selling behavior are presented. Before turning to this, it is useful to comment briefly on the difference between the data used in the current study and the data used in the earlier Feldstein-Yitzhaki analysis. That study was based on the 1963-64 Federal Reserve Board survey of 646 households that owned common stock at the end of 1962. The information collected for each household included the value of common stock owned at the

There is, of course, the possibility that the individual adjusts his other taxable income during the year to the amount of gain that he realizes, thus making even this "first dollar" tax rate endogenous. To reflect this would require a much more elaborate behavioral model than we have.

²The instrumental variables are the exogenous "first dollar capital gains tax rate" and a "predicted last dollar capital gains tax rate" based on the average capital gains of individuals with that income and dividends.

end of 1962 and the amounts sold and purchased during 1963. This permitted studying "stock switching" and "net selling" separately. There was no reliable information on the amount of gain realized and tax rates had to be estimated on the basis of income data reported in the survey. Despite these problems and the relatively small sample, the Feldstein-Yitzhaki analysis found clear evidence that the sale of corporate stock is very sensitive to individual differences in capital gain tax rates.

2. The Selling of Corporate Stock

Our analysis of the selling of corporate stock focuses on the value of corporate stock sales per dollar of dividends received during 1973. We use dividends in this way to represent the value of the stock in each individual's portfolio since the tax returns contain no direct measure of the portfolio value. There is some evidence that the ratio of dividends to portfolio value varies inversely with the adjusted gross income (Blume, Crockett, and Friend; 1974); this suggests that the tax rate appears to have a smaller effect on the sale-dividend ratio than it actually does on the sales-value ratio and therefore that our parameter estimates understate the effect of the tax on the selling of corporate stock.

In 1973, the average dividend yield on corporate stock was approximately three percent. By restricting our sample to taxpayers with at least \$3,000 of dividends, we limit our attention to individuals with portfolio of approximately \$100,000 or more. Such taxpayers accounted for 79 percent of all dividends reported by individuals for 1973. Restricting the sample in this way eliminates the implausibly high ratios of sales to dividends that occur in smaller portfolios because of chance fluctuations and measurement errors. Taxpayers with larger portfolios are also less likely to distort the estimates by altering the timing of capital gains and losses to take advantage of the very small opportunities to offset long-term losses against short-term gains, etc.

The yield on the Standard and Poors 500 stocks was 0.031.

The age of the taxpayer affects the selling decisions in a number of ways. The tax rules that prevailed in 1973 provided that the basis (or "cost") of assets transferred at death would be revalued to the current market value. This implies that the tax deterrent to selling should increase with the taxpayer's age and should be particularly strong for older taxpayers. Older taxpayers are also likely to have held their stock for a longer time, thus increasing the ratio of gain to total share value and increasing the incentive not to sell. These considerations apply to selling in order to reinvest the proceeds in other assets. Feldstein and Yitzhaki (1978) contrasted this "switch selling" with the "net selling" used to finance consumption. Older individuals are more likely to be net sellers in order to finance consumption. Although the tax return data does not include an exact age, we can distinguish taxpayers who are age 65 or older; we include a dummy variable wherever at least one individual is at least age 65. Since our data do not allow us to distinguish switch selling from net selling, the overall effect of age is ambiguous.

Two other variables are likely to affect the individual's decision to sell common stock: the value of the stock in his portfolio and the level of the individual's income. Although the probability of selling at least some stock is likely to increase with portfolio size, the ratio of sales to dividends is likely for two reasons to vary inversely with the size of the portfolio. First, any net sale of stock to finance a major consumption expenditure or nonportfolio investment could more easily represent a large fraction of a small portfolio. In addition, switching two or three securities in a small portfolio could involve selling a very large fraction of the total

value of the portfolio. Although we do not have a direct measure of the value of stock to include in the equation, we can again use the value of dividends to represent the value of the stock. We include the logarithm of dividends so that the variable will not be dominated by the largest portfolios.

Individuals with lower money incomes are more likely to be retired (or below their permanent income for other reasons) and are therefore more likely to want the proceeds of the net sales of common stock. Again, switch sales are not likely to follow the same pattern as net sales. Higher income individuals are more likely to switch stocks because they can better afford the risks of speculation and are more likely to have access to relevant investment information. We include the logarithm of adjusted gross income in our equation without any a priori theory about its sign. 1

Equation 1 of Table 1 presents the estimated coefficients for this equation. The coefficient of the tax variable (-67.9 with a standard error of 4.05) indicates that the taxation of capital gains has a very powerful effect on the selling of corporate tax. For example, a ten percentage point increase in the tax rate on capital gains reduces the sale-to-dividends ratio by 6.8.

To eliminate the simultaneity of adjusted gross income and sales, we exclude the actual capital gains included in AGI from AGI but add back in a predicted value of "included" capital gains based on a tabulation by income and dividends.

Table 1

THE EFFECTS OF TAXATION ON THE SELLING OF CORPORATE STOCK AND THE REALIZATION OF CAPITAL GAINS

Sample Size		32	32	œ		32	80
San		27832	27832	9348	9348	27832	9348
	Constant	71.4 (2.57)	.400	41.1 (1.86)	.380 (.0481)	39.9 (1.37)	28.0 (1.37)
ents	Logarithm of Adjusted Net AGI	505	.0309	362 (.192)	.0391 (.00496)	-1.38 (.105)	-1.41 (.141)
Estimated Coefficients	Logarithm of Dividends	-3.87 (.221)	.00916 (.00215)	-2.36 (.148)	.0106	-1,20 (,118)	643 (.109)
Est	Age 65	-1.38 (.652)	0334 (.00633)			.513 (.348)	
	Tax	-67.9 (4.05)	906 (.0393)	-22.1 (5.60)	-1.25 (.103)	-35.6 (2.16)	-7.93 (2.92)
Population		A11	A11	Aged only	Aged only	A11	Aged only
Dependent	Variable	<u>Sales</u> Dividends	Probability of selling	<u>Sales</u> Dividends	Probability of selling	Gains Dividends	Gains Dividends
Equation		1	2	m	4	2	9

In all cases the sample is limited to returns with at least \$3000 in dividends. Figures in parentheses are the adjusted standard error of estimate.

The negative coefficient on the age variable indicates that older taxpayers are less likely to sell than younger taxpayers. The tax incentives to postpone switch selling thus dominate the need to finance retirement consumption. The sales-to-dividend ratio also varies inversely with portfolio size and income.

Several variants of equation 1 which have been estimated (but are not presented) deserve comment. Using the "first dollar" marginal tax rate, i.e., the marginal tax rate on capital gains that the individual would face before he realized any capital gains, reduces the coefficient of the tax variable only slightly (from -67.9 to -55.7) and leaves the other coefficients essentially unchanged. Extending the sample to all shareholders (and not just those with more than \$3,000 of dividends) eliminates the estimated effect of the tax; the coefficient of the tax variable is very small and less than its standard error. As we noted above, we believe that this reflects the problems of measuring behavior of investors with small portfolios but it may also indicate that such investors are less sensitive to tax considerations.

In 1973, 50 percent of shareholders with more than \$3,000 in dividends sold some corporate stock. Equation 2 of Table 1 shows that the decision to sell anything, as well as the amount of selling, is sensitive to the individual's tax rate. The tax coefficient of -0.906 (with a standard error of .0393) implies that a 10 percentage point increase in the marginal tax rate reduces the probability of selling something by 9.1 percentage points. The other

Using a marginal tax rate based on "predicted capital gains" introduces substantial random error and results in a substantially reduced tax coefficient.

estimated coefficients show that older people are less likely to sell, that investors with larger portfolios are more likely to sell something, and that higher income individuals are also more likely to sell.

Equations 3 and 4 describe the selling behavior of taxpayers age 65 and over. 1 The tax coefficient in equation 3 is lower than in equation 1 but is still substantial. The probability of selling (equation 4) shows an even greater sensitivity for older taxpayers than for the population as a whole.

The evidence in this section confirms the earlier findings of Feldstein and Yitzhaki (1978) that current tax laws have a very substantial effect on the selling of corporate stock. Indeed, the basic tax coefficient estimate of -67.9 in our sales-to-dividend equation is quite similar to the earlier estimate that the sales-to-market value responds to the marginal tax rate with a coefficient of -3.20 (standard error = 1.04). Since the dividend-to-market value ratio is approximately 0.03, the current estimate of -67.9 is equivalent to -2.04 in the units of the earlier study.

Two problems should be borne in mind in interpreting the current estimates and the results presented in the next section. First, we have information on the individual's tax rate only for 1973. An individual whose tax rate varies substantially from year to year will tend to sell more when his rate is low. To the extent that low rates in 1973 are only temporarily low, our estimates will overstate the sensitivity of selling to the tax rate. We have no way of knowing how important this is. Second, our analysis is based on the 1973 experience and therefore on the bequest rules that applied then. In 1973, the

More precisely, at least one "age exemption" was claimed by these taxpaying units.

tax rules provided for a full revaluation of assets transferred at death.

Current law provides only for a carry-forward of the basis of assets that are bequeathed. Since this change reduces the advantage of not selling, investor behavior may be somewhat less sensitive to tax rates now than in 1973.

3. The Realizing of Capital Gains

A unique advantage of our current set of data is that it contains accurate information on capital gains and losses. We are therefore able to make the first estimates of the effects of the tax law on the realizing of net capital gains. This section follows the structure of the previous one and focuses on the net capital gains (positive or negative) realized in 1973 per dollar of dividends. We again examine the effect of the marginal tax rate and the taxpayer's age, portfolio size and income.

Equation 5 of Table 1 shows that the realizing of capital gains is very sensitive to the marginal tax rate. The coefficient of -35.6 (with a standard error of 2.16) implies that a ten percentage point change in the marginal tax rate changes the gain-to-dividend ratio by 3.56. An important implication of this high coefficient is that a reduction in the tax rate on capital gains would actually increase the total revenue collected. 1

The realization of capital gains varies with portfolio size and income in the same way that selling does. The effect of age is more difficult to interpret. Equation 5 indicates that age does not have a statistically significant effect when the tax rate, income and portfolio size are taken into account. Comparing equations 1 and 5 thus suggests that the ratio of capital gains to sales rises with age, a quite plausible implication since older taxpayers are likely to have held their assets longer. Limiting the sample to older taxpayers (equation 6) indicates that they are less responsive to the tax rate.

When this equation is re-estimated for the "first dollar" marginal tax rate, the coefficient estimates are very similar: the tax coefficient is -30.3 (standard error 1.84). When the sample is extended to all dividend recipients, the standard errors are large and the parameter estimates are unstable.

This lower sensitivity to the tax suggests that age per se may be more important than equation 5 indicates since older taxpayers generally have lower marginal tax rates.

4. Simulating Alternative Tax Rules

The estimated coefficients imply that corporate stock sales and the recognition of capital gains are both very sensitive to marginal tax rates. In this section, we use the estimated parameter values to calculate the impact of alternative tax rules on the aggregate volume of selling and the aggregate value of capital gains. For this purpose, we contrast the observed behavior under the 1973 law with two alternatives: Option 1 limits the rate of tax on long-term capital gains to 0.25 (and eliminates the minimum tax) while Option 2 taxes all capital gains as short-term gains, thus eliminating both the alternative tax and the exclusion. 1

Our simulation of the effect of tax changes on selling uses the tax coefficient in equation 1 of Table 1, -67.9. For each individual, we calculate the tax rate change implied by going from the 1973 law to the option being studied. We then multiply this difference between marginal tax rates by -67.9. This yields the predicted change in the individual's ratio of sales-to-dividends. This is added to his actual 1973 sales-to-dividend ratio to get a new predicted value. This new predicted value is multiplied by the individual's actual 1973 dividends to get a predicted sales for the individual. This predicted value (or zero if the predicted value is negative) is aggregated over all individuals using the appropriate sampling weights.

For both options, net capital losses are constrained to be less than \$3,000, the value anticipated in the current (1978) tax rules. For the sake of comparison, this constraint has been imposed on the 1973 "current law" simulations as well.

²More specifically, we use the marginal tax rate on the last dollar of actual capital gain under the two alternatives.

This gives the total predicted sales for the particular option. A similar calculation is done for capital gains using the coefficient of -35.6 from equation 4. In both cases, the caluclation is limited to individuals with dividends of at least \$3,000; this causes our calculations to understate the effect of tax changes, but the understatement is small since these individuals represent 79 percent of the dividends and, having generally higher incomes, are more sensitive to changes in the tax rules. 1

The results of our simulation are presented in Table 2, for seven adjusted gross income classes as well as for all taxpayers together.

Consider first the impact of the tax options on the value of corporate stock sales. Limiting the long-term capital gains tax rate to 0.25 (option 1) nearly doubles corporate stock sales to \$49.5 billion from the \$29.2 billion under the 1973 law. In contrast, treating all capital gains like short-term gains (option 2) reduces selling to \$16.6 billion, nearly one-half its 1973 level. Not surprisingly, the relative changes are greatest for the higher-income taxpayers.

The changes in realized gains are even more dramatic than the changes in sales. Limiting the tax rate to 25 percent causes a nearly three-fold increase in realized gains, from \$5.4 billion to \$15.8 billion. The higher tax rates under option 2 would substantially contract the value of realized gains.

Note that we do not use all of the estimated coefficients of equations 1 and 5 to predict selling and gains under alternative tax rules. The very low explanatory power of the equations would make such predictions very inaccurate We use instead the quite precisely estimated tax coefficient to calculate changes in selling and gains. An alternative way of describing our procedure is to say that we add the calculated residual for each individual to the predicted value based on all the coefficients.

Predicted capital gains are constrained to be zero whenever predicted sales are zero.

Table 2

SIMULATIONS OF ALTERNATIVE TAX POLICIES

		A	djusted Gros	Adjusted Gross Income Class				
	Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$20,000	\$20,000 to \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$100,000	\$100,000 to \$200,000	\$200,000 to \$500,000	More than \$500,000	Total
			- Millions of dollars	of dollars -				
			197	1973 law				
Sales	1652	2149	7337	2299	4654	3730	3050	29249
Net gains	153	277	1111	801	904	1016	1152	5416
Tax liability	9	29	162	177	245	324	907	1349
			Opt	Option 1				
Sales	1652	2232	- 7733	9226	1096	10319	8390	49503
Net gains	153	321	1317	2270	3426	9077	3908	15801
Tax liability	9	40	214	540	840	1093	971	3704
	29		Opt	Option 2	Ĩ			
Sales	1466	1148	3051	3786	2591	2418	2128	16594
Net gains	158	120 ·	258	356	484	099	829	2869
Tax liability	· 9-	7.	07	84	150	254	369	899

Option 1 limits the rate of tax on long-term corporate stock capital gains to 0.25. Option 2 taxes all corporate stock capital gains as short-term gains.

All figures refer to population with dividends greater than \$3000. For both options, net gains are constrained to be greater than \$-3000 for each return. For the sake of comparison, this constraint has been imposed on the 1973 law estimates as well.

It is interesting to note the revenue effects of the tax changes. A decrease in the tax rate causes a substantial increase in tax revenue while a rise in the tax rate causes tax revenue to fall sharply. 1

Note that this calculation, like all the analysis in this paper, refers only to corporate stock. The total revenue effect for all capital gains cannot be determined without further analysis of other asset types.

The revenue estimates that are presented in Table 2 use the following approximations. For the 1973 law and option 1, the actual last dollar marginal tax rate on short-term capital gains is applied to all gains. More detailed simulations of the tax revenue effects of alternative tax laws are to be the subject of future research.

5. Conclusion

The estimates presented in this paper confirm the earlier finding of Feldstein and Yitzhaki (1978) that the selling of corporate stock is sensitive to the tax rates and show that the realizing of capital gains is even more responsive. More generally, this study provides further evidence of the powerful effects that our tax system has on the process of capital formation.

The results indicate that reducing the tax on capital gains would not only encourage a more active market in corporate stock but would also increase tax revenue. There are a number of other proposals to alter the taxation of capital gains that would also increase selling: adjusting the cost of assets for the general rise in the consumer price level; constructive realization of gains at death; taxing accrued gains directly or retroactively with interest; or allowing tax-free rollovers. Analyzing the effects of such proposals requires a more complete model of the decision to sell corporate stock. The development of such a model would be an important extension of the current analysis.

Bibliography

- Bailey, Martin J.
 - "Capital Gains and Income Taxation," in A.C. Harberger and M.J. Bailey (eds.), The Taxation of Income From Capital. Washington: The Brookings Institution, 1969.
- Blume, Marshall E., Jean Crockett, and Irwin Friend
 "Stockownership in the United States: Characteristics and Trends,"
 Survey of Current Business, (November 1974).
- Break, George F. and Joseph A. Pechman

 Federal Tax Reform: The Impossible Dream? Studies of Government
 Finance. Washington: The Brookings Institution, 1975.
- Brinner, Roger
 "Inflation, Deferral and the Neutral Taxation of Capital Gains."
 National Tax Journal (December, 1973).
- David, Martin

 Alternative Approaches to Capital Gains Taxation. Washington: The Brookings Institution, 1968.
- Feldstein, M.S.

 "Personal Taxation and Portfolio Composition: An Econometric Analysis,"
 Econometrica Vol. 44:4 (July 1976).
- Feldstein, M.S. and Joel Slemrod
 "Inflation and the Excess Taxation of Capital Gains on Corporate Stock,"
 National Tax Journal (June, 1978).
- Feldstein, M.S. and Shlomo Yitzhaki
 "The Effect of the Capital Gains Tax on the Selling and Switching of Common Stock," Journal of Public Economics (February, 1978).
- Holt, Charles and J. Shelton
 "The Lock-In Effect of the Capital Gains Tax," National Tax Journal
 (December 1962).