

## Medicaid vs Medicare: Evidence from Medicaid to Medicare Transitions at 65

TIMOTHY LAYTON, HARVARD UNIVERSITY AND NBER NICOLE MAESTAS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY AND NBER DANIEL PRINZ, WORLD BANK MARK SHEPARD, HARVARD UNIVERSITY AND NBER BORIS VABSON, HARVARD UNIVERSITY

## **Key Findings and Policy Implications**

This paper examines the changes in healthcare and healthcare costs that occur when individuals are involuntary moved from Medicaid-only to dual Medicare-Medicaid coverage when they turn age 65. The analysis uses measures of healthcare costs, quality, access, and outcomes from CMS administrative records for both the Medicare and Medicaid programs from 2008 to 2015. The study finds that:

• The transition from Medicaid-only to dual coverage leads to a 13% increase in the government's fiscal cost of providing coverage. The cost increase is 12% for those shifting from Medicaid Fee-for-Service coverage and 27% for those shifting from Medicaid Managed Care plans.

• The bump in fiscal spending is primarily attributable to higher reimbursement rates under Medicare, as there is a much less pronounced increase in price-normalized spending, for which rates are kept constant across Medicaid and Medicare.

• There are also healthcare utilization changes. For example, we find higher usage of primary and professional care under Medicare, specifically in the form of physician office visits. We also find lower levels of acute care usage such as ED visits, which could be indicative of improved quality and health outcomes.

A key takeaway from the study is that higher spending on coverage (at least in the particular case of Medicare compared to Medicaid) does appear to translate into improved access to care and potentially also quality. Moreover, the mechanism driving these improvements is not greater intensity of utilization overall, but rather a shift away from acute care to primary care. This shift of utilization towards primary care may result at least in part from higher physician payment rates under Medicare.

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to grant RDR18000003 from the US Social Security Administration (SSA) funded as part of the Retirement and Disability Research Consortium. The opinions and conclusions expressed are solely those of the author(s) and do not represent the opinions or policy of SSA, any agency of the Federal Government, or NBER. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the contents of this report. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply endorsement, recommendation or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof.