## ONLINE APPENDIX

## Getting Tired of Your Friends:

## The Dynamics of Venture Capital Relationships

by Qianqian Du and Thomas Hellmann

This online appendix contains the following five sections. Appendix A presents additional tests as robustness checks to our main regressions. Appendix B shows the list of top 50 VCs between 1990 and 2012. Appendix C provides an example of how we construct the pairs of top 50 VCs for each company-round. In Appendix D, we illustrate the patterns of VC coinvestments by figures. Finally, we give an example of indirect partners in Appendix E.

## Appendix A. Additional Tests

## Table A.1. Robustness check on non-linearity

The sample includes 1,420,945 VC-pair-company-round observations between 1990 and 2012. In Panel A, the dependent variable is Coinvestment, a dummy variable to indicate whether the VC-pair coinvests in the current round. In Panel B, the dependent variables are IPO in Column (1) and EXIT in Column (2) to proxy for investment performance. IPO is a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO while Exit is a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO or gets acquired by another company. The rest of the variables are defined in Table 1. All regressions apply the Linear Probability Model. All standard errors are double-clustered at the VC-pair level and the company level, and they are reported in parentheses. We use ${ }^{* * *}$, ${ }^{* *}$, and * to denote significance at the $1 \%, 5 \%$, and $10 \%$ levels, respectively.

## Panel A: Coinvestments

|  | $(1)$ <br> Coinvestment | $(2)$ <br> Coinvestment | $(3)$ <br> Coinvestment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past-coinvestments | $-0.019^{* * *}$ | $-0.036^{* * *}$ | $-0.043^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.004)$ | $(0.005)$ | $(0.008)$ |
| Past-coinvestments^2 |  | $0.001^{* * *}$ | $0.001^{* *}$ |
|  |  | $(0.000)$ | $(0.001)$ |
| Past-coinvestments^3 |  |  | -0.000 |
|  |  |  | $(0.000)$ |
| Round-amount | $0.189^{* * *}$ | $0.189 * * *$ | $0.189^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.009)$ | $(0.009)$ | $(0.009)$ |
| Company-age | $-0.006 * * *$ | $-0.006^{* * *}$ | $-0.006 * * *$ |
|  | $(0.002)$ | $(0.002)$ | $(0.002)$ |
| Other controls | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Deal FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| VC Pair FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Observations | $1,420,945$ | $1,420,945$ | $1,420,945$ |
| R-squared | 0.352 | 0.352 | 0.352 |

Panel B: EXIT performance

|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | IPO | Exit |
| Past-coinvestments | -0.709 | 0.201 |
|  | $(0.455)$ | $(0.470)$ |
| Past-coinvestments $\wedge 2$ | 0.010 | $-0.040^{*}$ |
|  | $(0.022)$ | $(0.023)$ |
| Past-coinvestments $\wedge 3$ | -0.000 | $0.001^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.000)$ | $(0.000)$ |
| Round-amount | $7.336 * * *$ | $3.232^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.940)$ | $(0.995)$ |
| Company-age | -0.138 | 0.250 |
|  | $(0.396)$ | $(0.433)$ |
| Other controls | Yes | Yes |
| Deal FE | Yes | Yes |
| VC Pair FE | Yes | Yes |
| Observations | 9,390 | 9,390 |
| R-squared | 0.422 | 0.361 |

Table A.2. Robustness check on percentage of past-coinvestments

The sample includes 1,420,945 VC-pair-company-round observations between 1990 and 2012. The dependent variable in Column (1) is Coinvestment, a dummy variable to indicate whether the VC-pair coinvests in the current round. The dependent variable in Column (2) is IPO, a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO. The dependent variable in Column (3) is EXIT, a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO or gets acquired by another company. The rest of the variables are defined in Table 1. All regressions apply the Linear Probability Model. All standard errors are double-clustered at the VC-pair level and the company level, and they are reported in parentheses. We use ${ }^{* * *}$, ${ }^{* *}$, and * to denote significance at the $1 \%, 5 \%$, and $10 \%$ levels, respectively.

|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coinvestment | IPO | Exit |
| Past-coinvestments \% | $-4.989^{* * *}$ | $-150.107^{*}$ | -120.180 |
|  | $(1.758)$ | $(81.962)$ | $(88.265)$ |
| Same-company | $62.162^{* * *}$ | 0.043 | -0.365 |
|  | $(1.132)$ | $(1.377)$ | $(1.450)$ |
| Round-amount | $0.189^{* * *}$ | $7.298^{* * *}$ | $3.226^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.009)$ | $(0.943)$ | $(0.988)$ |
| Company-age | $-0.006^{* * *}$ | -0.139 | 0.251 |
|  | $(0.002)$ | $(0.398)$ | $(0.446)$ |
| Experience-avg | $0.219^{* * *}$ | 0.017 | -1.174 |
|  | $(0.031)$ | $(3.894)$ | $(4.534)$ |
| Industry-avg | $0.824^{* * *}$ | 2.875 | 7.052 |
|  | $(0.080)$ | $(11.528)$ | $(12.521)$ |
| State-avg | $0.983^{* * *}$ | -5.133 | $18.393^{*}$ |
|  | $(0.072)$ | $(9.389)$ | $(10.319)$ |
| Stage-avg | $0.412^{* * *}$ | $-35.254 * * *$ | $-18.935^{*}$ |
|  | $(0.089)$ | $(9.016)$ | $(10.005)$ |
| Deal FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| VC-Pair FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Observations | $1,420,945$ | 9,390 | 9,390 |
| R-squared | 0.352 | 0.422 | 0.360 |

Table A.3. Robustness check on VC-year fixed effects

The sample includes 1,420,945 VC-pair-company-round observations between 1990 and 2012. The dependent variable in Column (1) is Coinvestment, a dummy variable to indicate whether the VC-pair coinvests in the current round. The dependent variable in Column (2) is IPO, a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO. The dependent variable in Column (3) is EXIT, a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO or gets acquired by another company. The rest of the variables are defined in Table 1. All regressions apply the Linear Probability Model. All standard errors are double-clustered at the VC-pair level and the company level, and they are reported in parentheses. We use ${ }^{* * *}$, ${ }^{* *}$, and * to denote significance at the $1 \%, 5 \%$, and $10 \%$ levels, respectively.

|  | (1) <br> Coinvestment | $\begin{gathered} \text { (2) } \\ \text { IPO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (3) <br> Exit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past-coinvestments | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.025 * * * \\ (0.004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.434 * * * \\ (0.149) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.347 * \\ (0.193) \end{gathered}$ |
| Same-company | $\begin{gathered} 62.149 * * * \\ (1.129) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.479 \\ & (1.460) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.644 \\ & (1.663) \end{aligned}$ |
| Round-amount | $\begin{gathered} 0.186 * * * \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.711^{* * *} \\ (0.822) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.894 * * * \\ (0.944) \end{gathered}$ |
| Company-age | $\begin{gathered} -0.006 * * * \\ (0.002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.152 \\ & (0.300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.381 \\ (0.352) \end{gathered}$ |
| Experience-avg | $\begin{gathered} -0.376 * * * \\ (0.081) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -9.261 \\ (8.568) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.969 \\ & (9.955) \end{aligned}$ |
| Industry-avg | $\begin{gathered} 0.870 * * * \\ (0.080) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.753 \\ (11.605) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.841 \\ (13.752) \end{gathered}$ |
| State-avg | $\begin{gathered} 1.007^{* * *} \\ (0.070) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14.954 \\ (9.538) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.946 \\ (11.242) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stage-avg | $\begin{gathered} 0.446 * * * \\ (0.086) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -35.874 * * * \\ (9.259) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -20.923 * * \\ (10.507) \end{gathered}$ |
| Industry/State/Stage/Rd FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| VC-Pair FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| VC1-Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| VC2-Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Observations | 1,420,945 | 9,217 | 9,217 |
| R-squared | 0.353 | 0.573 | 0.509 |

Table A.4. Control variables of different VC characteristics

The sample includes 1,420,945 VC-pair-company-round observations between 1990 and 2012. The dependent variable in Column (1) is Coinvestment, a dummy variable to indicate whether the VC-pair coinvests in the current round. The dependent variable in Column (2) is IPO, a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO. The dependent variable in Column (3) is EXIT, a dummy variable to indicate whether or not the company has an IPO or gets acquired by another company. The rest of the variables are defined in Table 1. All regressions apply the Linear Probability Model. All standard errors are double-clustered at the VC-pair level and the company level, and they are reported in parentheses. We use ${ }^{* * *}$, ${ }^{* *}$, and * to denote significance at the $1 \%, 5 \%$, and $10 \%$ levels, respectively.

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coinvestment | IPO | Exit |
| Past-coinvestments | -0.020*** | -0.348** | -0.320* |
|  | (0.004) | (0.149) | (0.182) |
| Same-company | 62.160*** | 0.030 | -0.421 |
|  | (1.132) | (1.379) | (1.449) |
| Round-amount | 0.189*** | 7.324*** | 3.261*** |
|  | (0.009) | (0.937) | (0.996) |
| Company-age | -0.006*** | -0.138 | 0.266 |
|  | (0.002) | (0.399) | (0.437) |
| Experience-avg | 0.244*** | 1.490 | 0.481 |
|  | (0.033) | (4.040) | (4.599) |
| Experience-diff | -0.014* | -0.462 | -0.246 |
|  | (0.009) | (0.812) | (0.848) |
| Industry-avg | 0.881*** | 0.239 | 10.049 |
|  | (0.083) | (11.783) | (12.771) |
| Industry-diff | -0.254*** | 9.454 | -10.612 |
|  | (0.068) | (8.687) | (9.649) |
| State-avg | 1.012*** | -4.689 | 16.639 |
|  | (0.074) | (9.353) | (10.283) |
| State-diff | -0.085* | -1.401 | 7.861 |
|  | (0.048) | (7.019) | (7.550) |
| Stage-avg | 0.415*** | -34.939*** | -20.656** |
|  | (0.091) | (9.301) | (10.256) |
| Stage-diff | -0.045 | -0.537 | 12.745 |
|  | (0.089) | (11.321) | (12.083) |
| Deal FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| VC-Pair FE | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Observations | 1,420,945 | 9,390 | 9,390 |
| R-squared | 0.352 | 0.422 | 0.361 |

Appendix B. List of Top 50 Venture Capital Firms 1990 - 2012

| Accel Partners | Kleiner Perkins Caufield \& Byers |
| :--- | :--- |
| Advanced Technology Ventures | Lightspeed Venture Partners |
| Advantage Capital Partners | Matrix Partners |
| Alta Partners | Mayfield Fund |
| ARCH Venture Partners | Menlo Ventures |
| Atlas Venture Advisors Inc | Mohr Davidow Ventures |
| Austin Ventures, L.P. | Morgenthaler Ventures |
| Battery Ventures LP | MPM Capital LLC |
| Benchmark Capital | New Enterprise Associates, Inc. |
| Bessemer Venture Partners L P | North Bridge Venture Partners L P |
| Canaan Partners | Oak Investment Partners |
| Charles River Ventures | Polaris Venture Partners |
| Crescendo Venture Management LLC | Redpoint Ventures |
| Crosspoint Venture Partners | Rre Ventures LLC |
| Domain Associates LLC | Sequoia Capital |
| Draper Fisher Jurvetson International Inc | Sevin Rosen Funds |
| FirstMark Capital LLC | Sigma Partners |
| Flagship Ventures | Sutter Hill Ventures |
| Foundation Capital | TL Ventures |
| Foundry Group LLC | US Venture Partners |
| General Catalyst Partners LLC | VantagePoint Capital Partners |
| Greylock Partners | Venrock, Inc. |
| Highland Capital Partners LLC | Versant Ventures, Inc. |
| Institutional Venture Partners | Village Ventures Inc |
| InterWest Partners LLC | Warburg Pincus LLC |

## Appendix C. An example of constructing pairs of top 50 VCs

We follow several steps to construct our sample of $1,420,945$ observations at the VC pair-company-round level.

First, we start with companies which have received financing from at least one of the top 50 VCs in our sample.

Second, for each financing round, we first define "involved VCs" from the top 50 VCs. These are VC firms that invest in the current financing round or any previous round in the company.

Third, for each financing round, we then define the "not involved VCs" from the top 50 VCs. These include all top VCs that are not included in the set of the "involved VCs".

Fourth, the possible pairs of VCs are formed by all top VCs except those formed by VCs that are "not involved VCs".

For example, if Accel Partners is the only involved VC for the second financing round of California Ventures Company, the possible pairs in the second round include a total of 49 pairs formed by Accel Partners and the rest 49 VCs that are not involved.

If Warburg Pincus LLC and Sequoia Capital are the two involved VCs for the third round of the California Ventures Company, there are a total of three involved VCs (e.g. Accel Partners, Warburg Pincus LLC, and Sequoia Capital). The possible pairs include the three pairs formed by the three relevant VCs and 141 pairs formed by each of the involved VC with the rest 47 VCs that are not involved, resulting in a total of 144 possible pairs of top VCs which could possibly invest in the third round of the California Ventures Company.

## Appendix D. Figures

Figure D.1. HHI of VCs' portfolio of coinvestment partners

Concentration of VCs' Partners


Figure D.2. Number of all possible pairs formed by the Top 50 VCs


Figure D.3. Percentage of pairs that are realized coinvestments by the Top 50 VCs


## Appendix E. Definition of indirect partners



Every line represents a coinvestment relationship. Based on the above figure, VC1 has two indirect partners C and D while VC 2 has two indirect partners D and Y . VC1 and VC2 never coinvest with their indirect partners $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and Y . The total number of indirect partners for VC1 and VC 2 is 3 , that is, $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and Y .

